## A meta-analysis of effects and safety of *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside in the treatment of IgA nephropathy

## R.-X. WANG<sup>1</sup>, B.-Q. LIAO<sup>1</sup>, W. CHEN<sup>1</sup>, Y.-M. ZHANG<sup>1</sup>, X.-H. TANG<sup>1</sup>, F.-H. XIE<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Basic Medicine, Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China

R.-X. Wang and B.-Q. Liao are co-first authors

**Abstract.** – OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the effects and safety of *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside (TWP) in the treatment of immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS:** A computer-assisted study search of Chinese Biomedical Database (CBM), Chinese Journal Full-text Database (CNKI), Wanfang Database, Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP), PubMed, Medline, EM-BASE and Cochrane Library was performed, with the time range of retrieval set between the establishment of the database to December 31, 2019. Articles of randomized controlled trials on the treatment of IgA nephropathy by Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside were collected, and then screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Next, the quality of the papers was assessed, effective data were extracted, and a meta-analysis of the included studies was conducted using the Review Manager 5.3 software provided by the Cochrane Collaboration.

**RESULTS:** Thirty randomized controlled trials (RCT) were included ultimately, and the meta-analysis showed that 1) Single (Sgl) TWP group was superior to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotension receptor blocker (ACEI/ARB) group in terms of complete remission [odds ratio (OR) = 4.74, *p*-value < 0.00001], total remission (OR = 3.90, *p*-value < 0.0001), 24-hour proteinuria [mean difference (MD) = 1.18, p-value < 0.00001], and serum albumin (MD = -8.23, p-value < 0.00001), and no significant difference in serum creatinine (MD = 2.09, *p*-value = 0.08) was found between SgI TWP and control groups; TWP + ACEI/ARB group was superior in complete remission (OR = 2.57, p-value < 0.00001), total remission (OR = 4.36, p-value < 0.00001), serum albumin [standardized mean difference (SMD) = -0.68, p-value = 0.0005], 24-hour proteinuria (SMD = 1.24, *p*-value < 0.00001) and serum creatinine (SMD = 0.48, *p*-value = 0.006);

2) TWP group was superior to glucocorticoid group in complete remission (OR = 1.93, p-value < 0.0010), total remission (OR = 3.71, p-value < 0.00001), serum albumin (MD = -3.50, p-value = 0.002), 24-hour proteinuria (SMD = 0.93, p-value < 0.0001) and serum creatinine (SMD = 0.88, *p*-value = 0.006); 3) TWP group was better than mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) group in complete remission (OR = 2.05, p = 0.005), total remission (OR = 3.30, *p*-value = 0.002), 24-hour proteinuria (MD = 2.61, *p*-value < 0.0001), and serum albumin (MD = -6.43, p-value < 0.00001), but the differences in serum creatinine (MD = 1.28, p-value = 0.89) between TWP and control groups were not significant. Besides, TWP + ACEI/ARB group had a higher adverse reaction rate than the control group (OR = 2.21, *p*-value = 0.04), but there was no significant difference in the adverse reaction rate between other control and experimental groups (p-value > 0.05).

**CONCLUSIONS:** The present evidence shows that *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside can effectively improve the remission rate, reduce proteinuria, and protect kidney function of IgA nephropathy patients, and also has good safety. However, limited by the quality of the included studies, the effects and safety of *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside in the treatment of IgA nephropathy need to be verified by more high-quality, large-scale, multi-center RCTs.

Key Words:

*Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside, IgA nephropathy, Meta-analysis.

#### Introduction

immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy (IgAN) is one of the most common primary glomeru-

lar diseases worldwide at present, accounting for 30-40% of the total primary glomerular diseases in China<sup>1</sup>. Its pathogenic manifestation is featured by the deposition of IgA-dominant immunocomplexes in the glomerular mesangial area. IgAN progresses slowly in most patients, but 30-40% of the patients can develop into end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in 20 years after the diagnosis<sup>2</sup>, so it becomes one of the main causes of ESRD in China. Therefore, effective control and treatment of IgAN is of great significance. However, there is no unified treatment plan at IgAN due to the unclear etiology and pathogenesis and diversified clinical symptoms and renal pathology. The main treatment methods for IgAN include angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI), angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB), glucocorticoid, tonsillectomy, fish oil, traditional Chinese medicine, immunosuppressant, etc<sup>3</sup>. Individual treatment is usually made according to the clinical manifestation, laboratory test and pathology feature in clinical practice.

Tripterygium wilfordii preparations are the most widely used Chinese patent medicine immunosuppressants in the treatment of IgAN<sup>4</sup>. Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside is an active ingredient extracted from the plant Triptervgium wilfordii. It is cheap and has the effects of resisting inflammation, immunomodulation, protecting intrinsic renal cell function, easing pain, anti-rejection and anti-tumor<sup>5-8</sup>. Since traditional Chinese medicine Tripterygium wilfordii was used by Li et al9 to treat glomerulonephritis, it has been gradually applied to the treatment of various primitive and secondary glomerulonephritis, including IgAN. Tripterygium wilfordii's clinical use is restricted due to its toxic side effects although it has extensive pharmacological actions. As a refined preparation of the Tripterygium wilfordii extract, Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside has enhanced curative effects, reduced toxic side effects and adverse reactions, but there is controversy over its effects and toxicity. The purpose of this paper is to provide evidence to prove the effects and safety of Triptervgium wilfordii polyglycoside in the treatment of IgAN by studying the randomized controlled trials on the treatment of IgAN with Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside.

#### Subjects and Methods

#### Research Design

The study included randomized controlled trials (RCT), with the language limited to Chinese and English whether the blind method was employed or not.

#### Research Object

Patients selected were confirmed to have primary IgA nephropathy by renal needle biopsy regardless of gender, age, ethnicity and nationality.

#### Treatment

The treatment group mainly received *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside tablets, which could be supplemented by other non-*Tripterygium wilfordii* drugs, and the control group was treated with other drugs. Basic treatment like blood pressure control, diet control and redressing water-electrolyte balance disorder was given to both groups.

#### Outcome Index

The primary indices included complete remission (CR), partial remission (PR), and total remission (TR), TR = CR + PR. CR was defined as proteinuria < 0.15-0.40 g/24 h, and PR was defined as proteinuria between 0.15-0.40 g/24 h to 1.0 g-3.0/24 h and reduced protein excretion > 50%. The secondary indices were 24-hour proteinuria, serum creatinine, serum albumin, and adverse reaction rate.

#### Exclusion Criteria

1) Secondary IgAN; 2) The treatment was the *Tripterygium wilfordii* herb or dispensing granules; 3) In addition to basic treatment, other treatment methods were used to affect the outcome; 4) Other traditional Chinese medicine was taken besides *Tripterygium wilfordii*; 5) Studies that were duplicated and data could not be extracted; 6) Studies with unreasonable effect indices or less detailed treatment results; 7) Random grouping was not mentioned or the experimental process was unclear.

#### Search Strategy

PubMed, Medline, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Chinese Biomedical Database (CBM), China National Knowledge Internet (CNKI), Wanfang Database, and China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ) were computer-searched, with the retrieval time ranging from the establishment of the database to December 2019. Search terms included Glomerulonephritis, IGA; Berger's Disease; IgA Glomerulonephritis; IgA Nephropathy; Tripterygium; *Tripterygium wilfordii*; *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside; *Tripterygium wilfordii* Hook F etc.

## Data Extraction and Quality Evaluation

Two reviewers independently screened documents according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, and then cross-checked them. In case of disagreement, discuss settlement or refer to a third researcher. The Cochrane manual 5.1.0 was used to evaluate the methodology quality of the included studies, including random grouping method, concealment of grouping plan, application of blinding researchers and subjects, blind evaluation of outcomes, integrity of result data, selective reporting of outcomes, and other sources of bias.

## Statistical Analysis

The Meta-analysis was carried out using RevMan 5.3 software (Review Manager Web, The Cochrane collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). The dichotomous variables between treatment methods were compared using odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI); the continuous variables were expressed as mean difference (MD) and its 95% CI. Standardized mean difference (SMD) was selected when the mean difference between different studies was large. The heterogeneity of the results between studies was analyzed with  $\chi^2$  test. A low heterogeneity (*p*-value  $\ge 0.10$  or  $I^2 \le 50\%$ ) indicated that the fixed effect model should be used to merge the data, while a high heterogeneity (p-value < 0.10 or  $I^2 > 50\%$ ) suggested the random effect model was used to merge the data.

## Results

# Characteristics and Quality Evaluation of the Included Studies

A total of 1,266 related articles<sup>10-39</sup> were initially selected, and after several times of screening, 30 RCTs were finally included. The control group in 18 RCTs was treated with ACEI/ARB<sup>10-27</sup>, and ACEI/ARB group was further divided into single (Sgl) *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside (TWP) group<sup>10-13</sup> and TWP + ACEI/ARB group<sup>14-27</sup> according to different types of drugs used in the treatment group. Glucocorticoid and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) were taken as the control in 7 RCTs<sup>28-34</sup> and 5 RCTs<sup>35-39</sup> respectively. A total of 1,858 IgAN patients were enrolled ultimately. Figure 1 shows the study screening process, and the basic characteristics of the study are presented in Table I. The quality evaluation results are shown in Table II and Figure 2, from which it can be seen that the quality of the included studies is medium. In this study, 6 effect indices were compared among 3 drugs. The analysis results of the primary indices (complete remission and total remission) are shown in Figures 3-8, and the analysis results of the secondary indices are shown in Table III.

## *Comparison of Tripterygium Wilfordii Polyglycoside and ACEI/ARB*

The complete remission of the TWP and ACEI/ ARB groups was compared in 17 RCTs<sup>10-16,18-27</sup>. Since both groups had a low heterogeneity after data merging, the fixed effect model was used for the meta-analysis, which showed that the complete remission in both Sgl TWP group<sup>10-13</sup> [OR = 4.74, 95% CI (2.72, 8.27), Z = 5.49, *p*-value < 0.00001] and TWP + ACEI/ARB group<sup>14-16,18-27</sup> [OR = 2.57, 95% CI (1.88, 3.51), Z = 5.89, *p*-value < 0.00001] was higher than that in the control group, and the differences were significant (Figure 3). 16 RCTs<sup>10,12-16,18-27</sup> compared the total remission of the TWP and ACEI/ARB groups. The meta-analysis was performed using the fixed effect model because the merged results showed both groups had a low heterogeneity, indicating that the total remission in both Sgl TWP group<sup>10,12,13</sup> [OR = 3.90, 95% CI (1.98, 7.66), Z = 3.94, *p*-value < 0.0001] and TWP + ACEI/ARB group<sup>14-16,18-27</sup> [OR = 4.36, 95% CI (2.98, 6.36), Z = 7.62, *p*-value < 0.00001] was higher than that in the control group, and the differences were significant (Figure 4). 12 RCTs meta-analysis<sup>11-14,16,17,19,20,22,23,25,27</sup> of TWP + ACEI/ ARB group<sup>14,16,17,19,20,22,23,25,27</sup> was carried out by the standardized mean difference random effect model owing to a high heterogeneity after data merging. The results suggested that the 24-hour proteinuria in both Sgl TWP group [MD = 1.18], 95% CI (0.93, 1.43), Z = 9.22, *p*-value < 0.00001] and TWP + ACEI/ARB group [SMD = 1.24, 95%CI (0.80, 1.67), Z = 5.61, *p*-value < 0.00001] decreased more than that in the control group, and the differences were significant (Table III). 10 RCTs<sup>10-14,17,20,22,25,26</sup> compared the serum creatinine of TWP and ACEI/ARB groups. Due to the low heterogeneity after data merging, the fixed effect model was used for the meta-analysis of Sgl TWP group<sup>10-13</sup>, showing that the serum creatinine in Sgl TWP group [MD = 2.09, 95% CI (-0.21, 4.39), Z = 1.78, *p*-value = 0.08 > 0.05] was not significantly different from that in the control group (Table III). The meta-analysis of TWP + ACEI/ARB group<sup>14,17,20,22,25,26</sup> was made using the standardized mean difference random effect model because the merged data showed a high heterogeneity, indicating that the serum creatinine in TWP + ACEI/ ARB group (SMD = 0.48, 95% CI (0.14, 0.82), Z = 2.75, p-value = 0.006) decreased more than



**Figure 1.** Study selection flow diagram. 1) After searching in the database, delete the duplicate files, and finally get 608 literatures. 2) After excluding duplicate reviews, systematic evaluations, comments, animal experiments, 435 literatures were obtained. 3) After excluding literature with research content or treatment/control measures inconsistent with the present study, 75 literatures remain. 4) After excluding non-RCT literature, literature with imprecise experimental design or inconsistent experimental approach and literature with inconsistent outcome indices, 30 literatures remain.

that in the control group, and the differences were significant (Table III). The serum albumin of the TWP and ACEI/ ARB groups was compared in 10 RCTs<sup>10-14,17,20,22,25,26</sup>. The fixed effect model was applied to the meta-analysis of Sgl TWP group<sup>10-13</sup> due to a low heterogeneity after data merging, while the standardized mean difference random effect model was applied to the meta-analysis of TWP + ACEI/ARB group<sup>14,17,20,22,25,26</sup> which had a high heterogeneity after data merging. The results showed that the serum albumin in both Sgl TWP group [MD = -8.23, 95% CI (-9.65, -6.81), Z = 11.34, *p*-value < 0.00001] and TWP + ACEI/ ARB group [SMD = -0.68, 95% CI (-1.07, -0.30), Z = 3.48, *p*-value = 0.0005] increased more than that in the control group, and the differences were significant (Table III). The adverse reaction rate of the TWP and ACEI/ARB groups was compared in 8 RCTs<sup>11-13,20,22-24,26</sup>. Both groups had a low heterogeneity after data merging, so the fixed effect

model was used for the meta-analysis. It was indicated that no significant difference between the adverse reaction rate in Sgl TWP group<sup>11-13</sup> [OR = 0.86, 95% CI (0.32, 2.30), Z = 0.29, *p*-value = 0.77 > 0.05)] and that in the control groups was observed (Table III), and the adverse reaction rate in TWP + ACEI/ARB group<sup>20,22-24,26</sup> [OR = 2.21, 95% CI (1.03, 4.77), Z = 2.03, *p*-value = 0.04] was higher than that in the control group (Table III).

## *Comparison of Tripterygium Wilfordii Polyglycoside and Glucocorticoid*

7 RCTs<sup>28-34</sup> compared the complete remission of TWP and glucocorticoid groups, whose meta-analysis was performed with the fixed effect model because of a low heterogeneity after data merging. The results showed that the complete remission in TWP group [OR = 1.93, 95% CI (1.31, 2.85), Z = 3.30, *p*-value < 0.0010] was higher than that in the control group (Figure 5). The to-

| Ref.   | Samples | Sample (<br>(M/ | gender<br>'F) | A<br>(yeai      | ige<br>rs old) | Follow-up<br>period | Intervening n       | neasure         | Intervening   |
|--------|---------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| number | (T/C)   | т               | с             | т               | с              | (Month)             | т                   | с               | Outcome       |
| 30     | 18/18   | 12/6            | 14/4          | 22.3±1.8        |                | 6                   | TWP                 | PN              | a             |
| 20     | 12/11   | 9/14            |               | 21-50           |                | 3                   | TWP+VST             | VST             | a, f          |
| 35     | 20/19   | Not menti       | oned          | 40.7±5.3        | 38.8±7.5       | 6                   | TWP+MMF             | MMF             | a, c, d, e, f |
| 21     | 8/7     | 11/7            |               | Not mentic      | oned           | 6                   | TWP+PN+EN-<br>P+VST | PN+EN-<br>P+VST | a, c, d, e, f |
| 25     | 25/24   | 16/9            | 17/7          | 9.1±1.1         | 9.3±1.2        | 3                   | TWP+PN              | PN              | a, d, e       |
| 10     | 34/34   | 19/15           | 18/16         | 46.1±9.1        | 45.8±8.8       | 6                   | TWP+TMST            | TMST            | a, c, d, e, f |
| 13     | 40/40   | 19/21           | 18/22         | 66.2±4.1        | 65.2±4.0       | 12                  | TWP+LSTP            | LSTP            | a, e          |
| 11     | 15/15   | 9/6             | 10/5          | 54.1±8.9        | 53.7±8.5       | 3                   | TWP+TMST            | TMST            | a             |
| 16     | 34/31   | 18/16           | 17/14         | 37.3±6.7        | 36.7±5.9       | 3                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | a, c, d, e, f |
| 17     | 42/44   | 22/20           | 23/21         | 39.6±1.3        | 40.3±1.6       | 3                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | а             |
| 12     | 30/30   | Not menti       | oned          | 14-65           |                | 4                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | a, c          |
| 31     | 30/30   | 29/31           |               | 71.5±8.8        |                | 6                   | TWP+MMF             | MMF             | a             |
| 34     | 40/36   | 41/35           |               | 44.7±7.9        |                | 6                   | TWP+MMF             | MMF             | а             |
| 7      | 36/32   | 39/29           |               | 16-38           |                | 6                   | TWP                 | VST             | a, d, e, f    |
| 22     | 26/26   | 26/26           |               | 32.5±10.1       |                | 6                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | a, c, d, e, f |
| 24     | 34/34   | 19/15           | 20/14         | 42.8±5.6        | 42.9±4.4       | 12                  | TWP+PN              | PN              | a, c, d, e, f |
| 6      | 35/35   | 19/16           | 21/14         | 39.57±5.2       | 37.7±5.6       | 3                   | TWP                 | IBST            | a, d, e       |
| 27     | 58/62   | 36/22           | 36/26         | 33.5±6.2        | 34.8±7.6       | 3                   | TWP+PN+LSTP         | PN+<br>LSTP     | a, e          |
| 32     | 30/30   | 35/25           |               | $60 \pm 1.2$    |                | 6                   | TWP+MMF             | MMF             | a             |
| 14     | 41/41   | 23/18           | 21/20         | $33.5 \pm 5.30$ | 35.2±4.5       | 3                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | a             |
| 28     | 50/50   | 57/43           |               | 36.9±4.4        |                | 6                   | TWP+PN              | PN              | а             |
| 29     | 25/25   | 15/10           | 16/9          | 33.5±12.4       | 34.1±13.2      | 3                   | TWP+PN              | PN              | a, c, e       |
| 18     | 30/30   | 18/12           | 19/11         | $50.3 \pm 9.6$  | 51.3±8.2       | 3                   | TWP+TMST            | TMST            | a, c, d, e, f |
| 9      | 39/39   | 27/12           | 28/11         | 33.5±12.7       | 32.2±13.5      | 12                  | TWP                 | СТР             | a, c, d, e, f |
| 23     | 38/40   | Not menti       | oned          | Not mentio      | oned           | 6                   | TWP+BNP+VST         | BNP+<br>VST     | a             |
| 26     | 30/30   | 15/15           | 12/18         | $41.2\pm7.0$    | $43.2\pm6.4$   | 1.3                 | TWP                 | PN              | a, c, e, f    |
| 15     | 30/30   | 15/15           | 16/14         | 46.1±9.4        | 45.2±5.7       | 3                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | a, c          |
| 19     | 32/31   | 17/15           | 17/14         | 49.3±10.6       | 50.0±10.1      | 3                   | TWP+BNP             | BNP             | a, c, f       |
| 33     | 22/20   | Not menti       | oned          | 65.4±4.3        | 66.6±6.6       | 6                   | TWP+MMF             | MMF             | a, c, d, e, f |
| 8      | 30/30   | 16/14           | 17/13         | 33.2±11.8       | 32.8±12.6      | 12                  | TWP                 | ENP             | a, c, d, e, f |

T: Treat; C: Control; M: male; F: female; TWP: *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside; PN: Prednisone; VST: valsartan; MMF: Mycophenolate mofetil; ENP: Enalapril; TMST: Telmisartan; LSTP: Losartan potassium; BNP: Benazepril; IBST: Irbesartan; CTP: Captopril; a: Complete remission; b: Total remission; c: 24-hours proteinuria; d: Albumen; e: Serum creatinine; f: Adverse event.

tal remission of TWP and glucocorticoid groups was also compared in 7 RCTs<sup>28-34</sup>. Data merging showed a low heterogeneity, so the fixed effect model was employed to the meta-analysis, indicating that the total remission in TWP group [OR = 3.71, 95% CI (2.21, 6.25), Z = 4.95, *p*-value < 0.00001] was higher than that in the control group

(Figure 6). 4 RCTs<sup>28-30,33</sup> compared the 24-hour proteinuria of TWP and glucocorticoid groups. A high heterogeneity was showed after merging, so the standardized mean difference random effect model was used for meta-analysis, which suggested that the 24-hour proteinuria in TWP group [SMD = 0.93, 95% CI (0.49, 1.37), Z = 4.16,

| Ref.<br>number | Allocation<br>plan | Allocation concealment | Blind<br>method | Data<br>integrity | Selective reporting | Other bias |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------|
| 30             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 20             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 35             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 21             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Incomplete        | No                  | No         |
| 25             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 10             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 13             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 11             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 16             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 17             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 12             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 31             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Incomplete        | No                  | No         |
| 34             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 7              | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Incomplete        | No                  | No         |
| 22             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 24             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 6              | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 27             | СТ                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 32             | NM                 | NM                     | DB              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 14             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 28             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 29             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 18             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 9              | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 23             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | incomplete        | No                  | No         |
| 26             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 15             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 19             | RNT                | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 33             | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |
| 8              | NM                 | NM                     | NM              | Yes               | No                  | No         |

Table II. Detailed assessment of bias in each literature.

RNT: Random number table; NM: Not mentioned; CT: Coin-tossing; DB: Double-blind.

*p*-value < 0.0001] decreased more than that in the control group, and the differences were statistically significantly (Table III). In the comparison of serum creatinine between TWP and glucocorticoid groups in four RCTs<sup>28,30,31,33</sup>, the meta-analysis was carried out using the standardized mean difference random effect model because of a high heterogeneity after data merging, indicating that the serum creatinine in experimental groups [SMD = 0.88, 95% CI (0.38, 1.39), Z = 3.42, *p*-value = 0.006] decreased more than that in the con-

trol group, and the differences were statistically significantly (Table III). 2 RCTs<sup>28,29</sup> compared the serum albumin of TWP and glucocorticoid groups. Data merging revealed a low heterogeneity, so the fixed effect model was used for the meta-analysis, which showed that the serum albumin in the experimental groups [MD = -3.50, 95% CI (-5.70, -1.29), Z = 3.11, *p*-value = 0.002] increased more than that in the control group, and the differences were statistically significantly (Table III). In the comparison of adverse reaction rate between TWP and glucocorticoid groups in 4 RCTs<sup>28,30,31,33</sup>, the meta-analysis was conducted using the random effect model due to a high heterogeneity after data merging. The results showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the adverse reaction rate between the experimental groups [OR = 0.48, 95% CI (0.17, 1.35), Z = 1.39, *p*-value = 0.16 > 0.05] and the control group (Table III).

## *Comparison of Tripterygium Wilfordii Polyglycoside and MMF*

The complete remission of TWP and MMF groups was compared in 5 RCTs<sup>35-39</sup>, and the meta-analysis was conducted with the fixed effect model owing to a low heterogeneity after data merging. The results revealed that the complete remission in TWP group [OR = 2.05, 95% CI (1.24, 3.37), Z = 2.82, *p*-value = 0.005] was higher than that in the control group (Figure 7). In the comparison of total remission of TWP and MMF groups in 5 RCTs<sup>35-39</sup>, the fixed effect model was also applied to the meta-analysis because of a low heterogeneity indicated by the data merging, showing that total remission in TWP group [OR = 3.30, 95% CI (1.57, 6.95), Z = 3.14, p-value = 0.002] was higher than that in the control group (Figure 8). The meta-analysis of 2 RCTs<sup>37,39</sup>, which compared the 24-hour proteinuria of TWP and MMF groups, was carried out using the fixed effect model owing to a low heterogeneity after data merging. The results suggested that the 24-hour proteinuria in TWP group [MD = 2.61, 95% CI (1.43, 3.79), Z = 4.34, p-value < 0.0001] decreased more than that in the control group, and the differences were statistically significantly (Table III). 2 RCTs<sup>37,39</sup> also compared the serum creatinine of TWP and MMF groups. In view of a low heterogeneity after data merging, the fixed effect model was used for the meta-analysis, which revealed that no significant difference in the serum creatinine between the experimental group [MD = 1.28], 95% CI (-16.85, 19.42), Z = 0.14, *p*-value = 0.89 > 0.05] and control group was found (Table III). The serum albumin was compared between TWP and MMF groups in 2 RCTs<sup>37,39</sup>. The merged results showed a low heterogeneity, so the fixed effect model was employed to the Meta-analysis, which suggested that the serum albumin of the experimental groups [MD -6.43, 95% CI (-8.29, -4.57), Z = 6.78, p-value < 0.00001] increased more than that of the control group, and the differences were statistically significantly (Table III). 2 RCTs<sup>37,39</sup> also compared the adverse reaction rate between TWP and MMF groups. Since a high heterogeneity was found, the meta-analysis was made using the random effect model, which indicated that the adverse reaction rate in the experimental groups [OR = 0.92, 95% CI (0.29, 2.92), Z = 0.14, *p*-value = 0.88] was not statistically significantly different from that in the control group (Table III).

## Publication Bias Analysis

The risk of bias of the included study was assessed by drawing the funnel plot with Revman 5.3. The inverted funnel plot was drawn with the



**Figure 2.** Overall quality assessments. In this figure, selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias and other bias in the included literature were summarized and analyzed, from which it can be seen that the quality of the included studies is medium.

| Comparison                    |         |         |                 | 17       |        | Me            | ta-analysis resi | ults            |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------|----------|--------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| of medication<br>regimens     | numbers | т/с     | <i>p</i> -value | ₽<br>(%) | model  | OR/MD/<br>SMD | 95%<br>Cl        | <i>p</i> -value |
| TWP vs. ACEI/ARB              |         |         |                 |          |        |               |                  |                 |
| Sgl TWP                       |         |         |                 |          |        |               |                  |                 |
| Urine protein (g/24 h)        | 3       | 103/101 | 1.00            | 0        | Fixed  | 1.18          | (0.93, 1.43)     | < 0.00001       |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)     | 4       | 138/136 | 0.17            | 41       | Fixed  | 2.09          | (-0.21, 4.39)    | 0.08            |
| Albumen (g/L)                 | 4       | 138/136 | 0.99            | 0        | Fixed  | -8.23         | (-9.65, -6.81)   | < 0.00001       |
| Adverse reaction              | 3       | 105/101 | 0.38            | 0        | Fixed  | 0.86          | (0.32, 2.30)     | 0.77            |
| 2) TWP+ACEI/ARB               |         |         |                 |          |        |               |                  |                 |
| Urine protein (g/24 h)        | 9       | 264/259 | < 0.00001       | 79       | Random | 1.24          | (0.80, 1.67)     | < 0.00001       |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)     | 6       | 172/168 | 0.04            | 57       | Random | 0.48          | (0.14, 0.82)     | 0.006           |
| Albumen (g/L)                 | 6       | 172/168 | 0.02            | 64       | Random | -0.68         | (-1.07, -0.30)   | 0.0005          |
| Adverse reaction              | 5       | 135/129 | 0.70            | 0        | Fixed  | 2.21          | (1.03, 4.77)     | 0.04            |
| TWP vs. Glucocorticoids       |         |         |                 |          |        |               |                  |                 |
| Urine protein (g/24 h)        | 4       | 114/113 | 0.06            | 60       | Random | 0.93          | (0.49, 1.37)     | < 0.0001        |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)     | 4       | 147/151 | 0.006           | 76       | Random | 0.88          | (0.38, 1.39)     | 0.0006          |
| Albumen (g/L)                 | 2       | 59/58   | 0.16            | 48       | Fixed  | -3.50         | (-5.70, -1.29)   | 0.002           |
| Adverse reaction              | 4       | 147/151 | 0.08            | 56       | Random | 0.48          | (0.17, 1.35)     | 0.16            |
| TWP vs. Mycophenolate Mofetil |         |         |                 |          |        |               |                  |                 |
| Urine protein (g/24 h)        | 2       | 42/39   | 0.94            | 0        | Fixed  | 2.61          | (1.43, 3.79)     | < 0.0001        |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)     | 2       | 42/39   | 0.99            | 0        | Fixed  | 1.28          | (-19.85, 19.42)  | 0.89            |
| Albumen (g/L)                 | 2       | 42/39   | 0.14            | 53       | Fixed  | -6.43         | (-8.29, -4.57)   | < 0.00001       |
| Adverse reaction              | 2       | 42/39   | 0.97            | 0        | Fixed  | 0.92          | (0.29, 2.92)     | 0.88            |

| Table III. Summary of analysis results (secondar | y indices). |
|--|-------------|
|--|-------------|

TWP: *Tripterygium wilfordii* polyglycoside; ACEI: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB: angiotensin receptor blocker; Sgl: Single; T: Treat; C: Control; CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; MD: Mean difference; SMD: Standardized mean difference.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of TWP *vs.* ACEI/ARB on complete remission. The figure showed that the complete remission in both Sgl TWP group [OR = 4.74, 95% CI (2.72, 8.27), Z = 5.49, *p*-value < 0.00001] and TWP + ACEI/ARB group [OR = 2.57, 95% CI (1.88, 3.51), Z = 5.89, *p*-value < 0.00001] was higher than that in the ACEI/ARB group, and the differences were significant.

complete remission as the evaluation index, the logarithm value of OR of each research as the ordinate, and the OR value as the abscissa. The results showed that the funnel plot was not well symmetrical, suggesting the possibility of publication bias (Figure 9).

#### Discussion

In recent years, the treatment of IgAN has gradually enriched. The most common ones include ACEI/ARB, glucocorticoid, immunosuppressant, etc. ACEI/ARB is commonly used in the treatment of IgAN, having the effects of reducing the risk factors that promote disease progression, lowering the proteinuria of IgAN patients and protecting their renal function. Glucocorticoid is one of the common drugs for the treatment of IgAN, which is proved by clinical research<sup>36</sup> to be able to reduce the level of proteinuria and slow the progression of IgAN<sup>40</sup>. Nevertheless, it was only used to treat special subtype IgAN in the past, but it has a larger application range with the increased incidence of ESRD. Immunosuppressant is the main treatment for IgAN, and much progress has been made in the IgAN treatment by mizoribine (MZR), cyclosporine A (CSA), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and other immunosuppressants<sup>41-44</sup>. In contrast with immunesuppressants made from western medicine, which are generally expensive, TWP has small toxic side effects and a low price, so it is considered the optimal TCM immunosuppressant for the treatment of IgAN.

The mechanism of TWP in the treatment of IgAN remains controversial and unclear. With the deepening of the knowledge about the mechanism, Tripterygium wilfordii is suggested to have many therapeutic effects on IgAN. On the one hand, triptolide can directly regulate the expression of podocyte-associated proteins (such as E-cadherin, P-cadherin, nephrin, podocin, etc.) to stabilize the podocyte cytoskeleton, maintain the integrity of the glomerular charge barrier, and reduce proteinuria<sup>45,46</sup>. On the other hand, Tripterygium wilfordii can exert effects indirectly: 1) Triptolide can inhibit the activated human proximal renal tubular epithelial cells to express B7-H1, MHC-II, ICAM-1 and other costimulatory factors, thereby weakening

|                                   | Experime     | ental    | Contr                  | ol    |        | Odds Ratio           | Odds Ratio         |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------------|-------|--------|----------------------|--------------------|
| tudy or Subgroup                  | Events       | Total    | Events                 | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% C    | M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl |
| .5.1 TWP VS ACEI/A                | RB           |          |                        |       |        |                      |                    |
| VJW 2019                          | 32           | 35       | 24                     | 35    | 5.6%   | 4.89 [1.23, 19.47]   |                    |
| T 2008                            | 30           | 39       | 19                     | 39    | 12.0%  | 3.51 [1.32, 9.30]    |                    |
| XH 2010                           | 26           | 30       | 19                     | 30    | 6.9%   | 3.76 [1.04, 13.65]   |                    |
| ubtotal (95% CI)                  |              | 104      |                        | 104   | 24.5%  | 3.90 [1.98, 7.66]    | -                  |
| otal events                       | 88           |          | 62                     |       |        |                      |                    |
| leterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 0.15, df = 2 | (P = 0.9 | 3); l <sup>2</sup> = 0 | %     |        |                      |                    |
| est for overall effect:           | Z = 3.94 (P  | < 0.000  | 1)                     |       |        |                      |                    |
|                                   |              |          |                        |       |        |                      |                    |
| .5.2 TWP +ACEI/AR                 | B VS ACEI    | ARB      |                        |       |        |                      |                    |
| S 2012                            | 12           | 12       | 7                      | 11    | 0.8%   | 15.00 [0.70, 319.52] |                    |
| Y 2009                            | 7            | 8        | 5                      | 7     | 1.8%   | 2.80 [0.20, 40.06]   |                    |
| YP 2018                           | 26           | 34       | 17                     | 34    | 10.9%  | 3.25 [1.15, 9.19]    |                    |
| BQ 2017                           | 14           | 15       | 11                     | 15    | 2.0%   | 5.09 [0.50, 52.29]   |                    |
| SYB 2015                          | 31           | 34       | 21                     | 31    | 5.3%   | 4.92 [1.21, 20.04]   |                    |
| CJ 2015                           | 36           | 42       | 29                     | 44    | 11.0%  | 3.10 [1.07, 9.01]    |                    |
| P 2017                            | 26           | 30       | 19                     | 30    | 6.9%   | 3.76 [1.04, 13.65]   |                    |
| SJ 2009                           | 24           | 26       | 17                     | 26    | 3.6%   | 6.35 [1.22, 33.19]   |                    |
| VXY 2016                          | 39           | 41       | 29                     | 41    | 3.9%   | 8.07 [1.68, 38.87]   |                    |
| Q 2014                            | 26           | 30       | 19                     | 30    | 6.9%   | 3.76 [1.04, 13.65]   |                    |
| FY 2008                           | 34           | 38       | 28                     | 40    | 7.8%   | 3.64 [1.06, 12.55]   |                    |
| T 2016                            | 26           | 30       | 17                     | 30    | 6.2%   | 4.97 [1.39, 17.82]   |                    |
| ZM 2014                           | 24           | 33       | 11                     | 31    | 8.4%   | 4.85 [1.68, 14.02]   |                    |
| ubtotal (95% CI)                  |              | 373      |                        | 370   | 75.5%  | 4.36 [2.98, 6.36]    |                    |
| otal events                       | 325          |          | 230                    |       |        |                      |                    |
| leterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 2.52, df = 1 | 2 (P = 1 | .00); l² =             | 0%    |        |                      |                    |
| est for overall effect:           | Z = 7.62 (P  | < 0.000  | 01)                    |       |        |                      |                    |
| otal (95% CI)                     |              | 477      |                        | 474   | 100.0% | 4.24 [3.05, 5.90]    | •                  |
| otal events                       | 413          |          | 292                    |       |        |                      |                    |
| leterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 2.73, df = 1 | 5 (P = 1 | .00); l <sup>2</sup> = | 0%    |        |                      |                    |
| est for overall effect:           | Z = 8.58 (P  | < 0.000  | 01)                    |       |        |                      | 0.01 0.1 1 10 10   |

**Figure 4.** Comparison of TWP *vs.* ACEI/ARB on total remission. The figure showed that the total remission in both Sgl TWP group [OR = 3.90, 95% CI (1.98, 7.66), Z = <math>3.94, *p*-value < 0.0001] and TWP + ACEI/ARB group [OR = 4.36, 95% CI (2.98, 6.36), Z = 7.62, *p*-value < 0.00001] was higher than that in the ACEI/ARB group, and the differences were significant.



**Figure 5.** Comparison of TWP *vs.* Glucocorticoid on complete remission. The figure showed that the complete remission in TWP group [OR = 1.93, 95% CI (1.31, 2.85), Z = 3.30, *p*-value < 0.0010] was higher than that in the glucocorticoid group, and the differences were significant.

the antigen presentation effect of renal tubular epithelial cells, preventing the activation of T cells and reducing inflammation<sup>47</sup>; 2) Triptolide can reduce the generation of the membrane attack complex C5b-9 by immunosuppression to inhibit the fusion of podocytes and protect podocytes<sup>48</sup>; 3) Triptolide can suppress the secretion of inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-13 (IL-13), interleukin-1 beta (IL-1  $\beta$ ), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor beta (TNF- $\beta$ ), and prevent their destruction to podocyte cytoskeleton protein and their stimulation of mesangial proliferation<sup>49-52</sup>; 4) Tripterygium wilfordii T4 can significantly reduce IgA fibronectin, the deposition of IgA in glomerular mesangial membrane, and thus lessen mesangial cell proliferation<sup>53</sup>.

The comparative studies of ACEI/ARB and TWP showed that TWP was superior to ACEI/ ARB in terms of complete remission, total re-

mission, proteinuria and serum albumin. Serum creatinine could be effectively lowered by the TWP + ACEI/ARB, but not by TWP alone, indicating that the combination of TWP and ACEI/ARB had a better protective effect on the kidney in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. However, TWP + ACEI/ARB had a higher adverse reaction rate than TWP alone, but the liver defunction, reproductive inhibition and gastrointestinal reaction caused by the use of TWP are reversible after drug withdrawal, and the side effects can be significantly reduced by the liver protection drugs and acid inhibitors during the use of TWP. Meanwhile, the improved drug extraction and refining technology enables to remove most of the harmful ingredients and retain effective ingredients in TWP in its preparation, thus minimizing the side effects. Therefore, the combination of TWP and ACEI/ARB is recommended for the IgAN treatment.

|                                   | Experim      | ental      | Contr                   | ol    |        | Odds Ratio         | Odds Ratio                               |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events       | Total      | Events                  | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% C  | I M-H, Fixed, 95% CI                     |
| ADLT 2008                         | 14           | 18         | 13                      | 18    | 18.0%  | 1.35 [0.30, 6.13]  |  |
| CY 2018                           | 20           | 25         | 12                      | 24    | 15.2%  | 4.00 [1.13, 14.17] |  |
| TX 2019                           | 32           | 34         | 26                      | 34    | 9.5%   | 4.92 [0.96, 25.22] |  |
| WLH 2015                          | 52           | 58         | 46                      | 62    | 28.6%  | 3.01 [1.09, 8.35]  |  |
| WYH 2014                          | 49           | 50         | 44                      | 50    | 5.5%   | 6.68 [0.77, 57.70] | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·    |
| XLN 2011                          | 22           | 25         | 16                      | 25    | 11.9%  | 4.13 [0.96, 17.70] |  |
| YGJ 2018                          | 27           | 30         | 18                      | 30    | 11.2%  | 6.00 [1.48, 24.30] |  |
| Total (95% CI)                    |              | 240        |                         | 243   | 100.0% | 3.71 [2.21, 6.25]  | •  |
| Total events                      | 216          |            | 175                     |       |        |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 2.77, df = 6 | 6 (P = 0.8 | 34); I <sup>2</sup> = 0 | %     |        |                    |  |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 4.95 (F  | P < 0.000  | 001)                    |       |        |                    | Favours [Control] Favours [Experimental] |

**Figure 6.** Comparison of TWP *vs.* Glucocorticoid on total remission. The figure showed that the total remission in TWP group [OR = 3.71, 95% CI (2.21, 6.25), Z = 4.95, p-value < 0.00001] was higher than that in the glucocorticoid group, and the differences were significant.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of TWP *vs.* MMF on complete remission. The figure showed that the complete remission in TWP group [OR = 2.05, 95% CI (1.24, 3.37), Z = 2.82, p-value = 0.005] was higher than that in the MMF group, and the differences were significant.

According to the comparative studies<sup>30,34</sup> of TWP and glucocorticoid, TWP group was superior to glucocorticoid group in complete remission, total remission, serum albumin, proteinuria and serum creatinine, and no significant difference between the adverse reaction rate was observed between the two groups. Therefore, TWP may be an alternative of glucocorticoid in the treatment of IgA nephropathy in the future, which will help achieve a better treatment effect, make it safer for treating patients with contraindications or serious adverse reactions to glucocorticoid, and avoid unnecessary side effects caused by irrational dosage or non-standard dose reduction of glucocorticoid.

The comparative studies<sup>35-39</sup>, of TWP and MMF showed that TWP was better than MMF in terms of complete remission, total remission, 24-hour proteinuria and serum albumin, but there was no significant difference in se-

rum creatinine and adverse reaction rate between TWP and MMF. TWP tablets are much cheaper than mainstay immunosuppressants such as MMF. It generally costs 2-3,000 yuan per month when using MMF or tacrolimus to treat chronic kidney diseases, while TWP costs less than 100 yuan per month, which greatly reduces the economic burden of patients and the occurrence of drug withdrawal caused by it. However, the conclusion still needs to be further verified due to the small number of articles included in this paper.

Based on the analysis of this paper, TWP was capable of effectively improving the remission, reducing proteinuria and protecting renal function, and it was not inferior to glucocorticoid and MMF in safety. It is urgent to enhance the efficacy and reduce the toxic side effects of TWP in its clinical use. With the high-efficiency and low-toxicity TWP coming on the market

| M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl |
|--------------------|
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |
|                    |

**Figure 8.** Comparison of TWP vs. MMF on total remission. The figure showed that total remission in TWP group [OR = 3.30, 95% CI (1.57, 6.95), Z = 3.14, *p*-value = 0.002] was statistically significantly higher than that in the MMF group, and the differences were significant.



**Figure 9.** Funnel plot of publication bias. The inverted funnel plot was drawn with the complete remission as the evaluation index, the logarithm value of OR of each research as the ordinate, and the OR value as the abscissa. The results showed that the funnel plot was not well symmetrical, suggesting the possibility of publication bias.

and wide spread of rational drug use, TWP, as a cheap TCM immunosuppressant, has great potential in the treatment of IgA nephropathy in clinical practice.

#### Limitations

This study has some limitations. Firstly, 30 RCTs included were all conducted by domestic scholars, and had poor quality. Secondly, among the 30 RCTs that mentioned the random grouping, only 8 RCTs described the method in detail, and only 1 RCT described the implementation of blind method. Thirdly, the inconsistency in administration dosage, frequency and treatment course among the included RCTs might influence the meta-analysis results. Fourthly, all 30 RCTs did not report relapse of the disease, so it was impossible to evaluate the long-term effect of TWP. To conclude, the effects and safety of TWP in the treatment of IgA nephropathy still need to be further verified by multi-center and large-scale RCT research before it is widely applied in clinical practice.

#### Conclusions

In summary, our present evidence shows that TWP can effectively improve the remission rate,

reduce proteinuria, and protect kidney function of IgA nephropathy patients, and also has good safety.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

#### Funding

This work was supported by funds from Jiangxi Provincial Department of Education to Fuhua XIE (GJJ170866) and Department of Education to Runxiu WANG (No. GJJ201526).

#### Informed Consent

Subjects followed the principle of voluntary participation and signed a study consent form.

#### **Ethics Approval**

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Gannan Medical University. The privacy and safety of subjects were adequately protected in accordance with clinical study guidelines.

#### Authors' Contributions

R.-X. Wang: searched the literatures and wrote this article. B.-Q. Liao: screened the literatures for statistically analysis. W. Chen: helped R.-X. Wang searching the literatures. Y.-M. Zhang: edited the figures and tables. X.-H. Tang: evaluated the screened literature. F.-H. Xie: designed the project and constructed the article framework.

#### ORCID ID

Fuhua Xie: 0000-0003-2512-394X.

#### References

- Yu XQ, Wei JL. Kidney disease in China: recent progress and prospects. Chin Med J 2009; 122: 2048-2053.
- Selvaskandan H, Cheung CK, Muto M, Barratt J. New strategies and perspectives on managing IgA nephropathy. Clin Exp Nephrol 2019; 23: 577-588.
- Endo M. Epidemiology and prognosis of IgA nephropathy. Nihon Jinzo Gakkai Shi 2008; 50: 442-447.
- Zuccotti E, Oliveri M, Girometta C, Ratto D, Di IC, Occhinegro A, Rossi P. Nutritional strategies for psoriasis: current scientific evidence in clinical trials. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2018; 22: 8537-8551.
- Hou HL, He QX, LI XB, Zhang SS, Zhang Y, Zhang XM, Guo JL, Han LW, Liu KC.Research progress on the basis of effective and toxic substance of Tripterygium wilfordii. J Mod Med Heal 2018; 34: 1018-1020.
- Zhang C, Ju JY, Wu XH, Yang JL, Yang QL, Liu CQ, Chen L, Sun XM.Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside ameliorated TNBS-induced colitis in rats via regulating Th17/Treg balance in intestinal mucosa. J Inflamm Res 2021; 1: 1243-1255.
- Li QZ, Huang Y, Liu PP, Yuan H, Zhao JS. Effect of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets on serum inflammatory factors and T cells in patients with chronic nephritis. Am J Transl Res 2021; 13: 8385-8390.
- Chen Y, Wang YF, Song SS, Zhu J, Wu LL, Li XY. Potential shared therapeutic and hepatotoxic mechanisms of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides treating three kinds of autoimmune skin diseases by regulating IL-17 signaling pathway and Th17 cell differentiation. J Ethnopharmacol 2022; 296: 115496.
- Li LS, Zhang X, Chen GY, Ji DX, Bao ZD. Clinical study of Tripterygium wilfordii in treating glomerulonephritis. Chin J Intern Med 1981; 4: 216-220.
- J.W. W. Efficacy of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with ibesartan in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. J Prac Tradit Chin Med 2019; 35: 187.

- Shen LR, Zhang LL, Chi BX, Shen HL, Liu ZY, Wang SJ. Efficacy of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides with double dose in treatment of Iga nephropathy. Youjiang Med J 2011; 39: 142-144.
- Zhou XH, Yao CY. Clinical study of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides in the treatment of 30 cases of IgA nephropathy. Chin Mod Doc 2010; 48: 34-35.
- Xie T, Zhong YX, Mai WM, Huang PP. Clinical study of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. Chin J Post Med 2018; 31: 35-36.
- 14) Cai YP. Effect analysis of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with telmisartan in the treatment of primary Iga nephropathy patients with moderate proteinuria. Henan Med Res 2018; 27: 3726-3728.
- Guo BQ. Efficacy of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets combined with telmisartan in the treatment of middle-aged and elderly patients with IgA nephropathy. J Clin Med Lit 2017; 4: 13804.
- Li P. Effect of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets combined with ACEI on IgAN proteinuria in 30 cases. World Lat Med Infor 2017; 17: 162.
- 17) Fang H, Zhang XH, Zhang CJ, Liu HY, Chen TJ, Ren QJ, Cao J. Clinical curative effect of Tripterygium wilfordii glycoside combined with cozaar in elderly patients with IgA nephropathy and its influence on expression of TGF-β1, PAI-1 and VEGF. Chongging Med 2017; 46: 2937-2939.
- Wang XY. Clinical effect analysis of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets combined with benazepril hydrochloride in the treatment of primary IgA nephropathy. Chin Prac Med 2016; 11: 130-131.
- 19) Yu T. Clinical effect of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with benazepril in the treatment of immunoglobulin a nephropath. Guid Chin Med 2016; 14: 141.
- 20) Guan YB, Luo FZ, Zhao XF. Clinical efficacy and safety of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with benazepril hydrochloride in the treatment of immunoglobulin A nephropathy. Chin J Clin Pharmacol 2015; 31: 787-789.
- Luo CZ. The clinical effect of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides tablets combined with benazepril hydrochloride in the treatment of primary IgA nephropathy. Chin Heal Std Mana 2015; 6: 177-178.
- 22) Xiang Q, Song EF, Liu HY. Efficacy of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets combined with telmisartan in the treatment of middle-aged and elderly patients with IgA nephropathy. World J Integr Trad West Med 2014; 9: 756-758.
- 23) Yang ZM, Shen SZ, Hu YY, Cai JY, SUN LY, XIE QH. Clinical study of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside combined with benazepril in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. Chin J Clin Pharmacol 2014; 30: 994-995.

- 24) Cao S, Chen QP. Efficacy of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets combined with valsartan in the treatment of IgA nephrotic proteinuria. Pract Clin Med 2012; 13: 9-10.
- 25) Chen Y, Qin Y. Clinical observation of triple therapy in the treatment of Iga nephropathy with moderate proteinuria. J Mod Med Heal 2009; 25: 1645-1646.
- 26) Shen SS, Hu ZX, Wang SM, Li QH. Efficacy of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets combined with benazepril in the treatment of Iga nephropathy. Chin J Integr Trad West Nephrol 2009; 10: 154-155.
- 27) Yang FY, Wei CY, Li CY. A control study of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside in the treatment of IgA nephropathy with non-nephrotic syndrome. Chin J Gen Pract 2008; 6: 1138-1139.
- 28) Tu X. The clinical effect of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside combined with prednisone in the treatment of Iga nephropathy with renal dysfunction. J Clin Ration Drug Use 2019; 12: 81-83.
- 29) Chen Y, Deng HY, Tan M, Deng H, Yang HB, Li YJ. Clinical observation of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside in the treatment of children with Iga nephropathy. Guangdong Med J 2018; 39: 252-253.
- 30) Yu GJ, Luo F, Cheng X, Hu JP, Zuo F. Effect of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets on IgA nephropathy under immunosuppressive effect. World Chin Med 2018; 13: 2781-2784.
- Wu LH, Ye X. Clinical study of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with glucocorticoid in the treatment of immunoglobulin A nephropathy. Chin J Clin Pharmacol 2015; 31: 418-420.
- 32) Wang YH. Effect analysis of glucocorticoid combined with Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. Med Front 2014; 30: 284-285.
- 33) Xin LN, Zhang LL. Clinical control analysis of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with low dose glucocorticoid in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. J Clin Med Pract 2011; 15: 103-104.
- 34) A DLT, Su JH, Wang ZC. Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside tablets in the treatment of 18 patients with primary IgA nephrotic syndrome. Contemp Med 2008; 6: 12-13.
- 35) Lu YH. Observation on Curative Effect of Tripterygium Glycosides and Mycophenolate Mofetil in Treatment of Elderly IgA Nephropathy. Chn Fn Med Treat 2016; 35: 166-167.
- 36) Wang PG. Clinical observation of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with metmechospholactone in the treatment of 30 elderly patients with IgA nephropathy. Chin J Ethnomed Ethnophar 2014; 35: 57.
- 37) Zhang HR. Observation on clinical efficacy of Tripterygium Wilfordii with Mycophenolate Mofetil in the treatment of elderly IgA nephropathy. Chn Med Hera 2013; 10: 81-82.

- Li YL. Clinical study of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides combined with metmechospholactone in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. Chn Med Pharm 2012; 2: 62-103.
- 39) Chen XS. The clinical effect of metmecolcophenol ester combined with Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycoside in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. J Clin Res 2011; 28: 1295-1296.
- 40) Lie CH, Xie YS, Chen MX. Evidence-based study of glucocorticoid in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. Chin J Integr Trad and West Nephrol 2008; 9: 273-275.
- Zhang H, Sun Q. Research progress of midazolibin in the treatment of IgA nephropathy. J Chin Physi 2015; 5: 244-247.
- 42) Chen SS, Yin Q, Ren S, Zhong X, Wang W, Li GS, Wang L. A comparison of the effectiveness of cyclophosphamide, leflunomide, corticosteroids, or conservative manage- ment alone in patients with IgA nephropathy: a retrospective observational study. Sci Rep 2018; 8: 13662.
- 43) Ihm HS, Lee JY, Hwang HS, Kim YG, Moon JY, Lee SH, Jeong KH, Lee TW, Ihm CG. Combination therapy of low-dose cyclosporine and steroid in adults with IgA nephropathy. Clin Nephrol 2019; 92: 131-140.
- 44) Baraldi O, Comai G, Cuna V, Cappuccilli M, Serra C, Ronco C, La MG. Mycophenolate Mofetil: A Possible Alternative Treatment for IgA Nephropathy. Contrib Nephrol 2017; 190: 108-116.
- 45) Wang XT, Cui GF. Study on the effect of triptolide on the expression of Snail1 and Nephrin in podocytes. Chin J Integr Trad West Nephrol 2014; 15: 903-905.
- 46) Li X, Cheng XX. Research progress on the protective mechanism of triptolide on podocytes. J Jiangxi Coll Trad Chin Med 2016; 28: 118-121.
- 47) Li H, Liu ZH, Dai CS, Liu D, Li LS. Triptolide inhibits proinflammatory factor-induced over-expression of class II MHC and B7 molecules in renal tubular epithelial cells. Acta pharmacol Sin 2002; 23: 775-781.
- 48) Qin WS, Liu ZH, Zeng CH, Zheng CX, Jia ZH, Wang SY, Li LS. Effect of triptolide on podocytosis in Heymann nephritis model. Journal of kidney disease and dialysis kidney transplantation. Chin J Nephrol Dial Transpl 2007; 16: 101-109.
- 49) Shen PX, Zhao GS, Wu S, Wang JS, Zhao YY, Meng JX, Su CH, Zhang WZ, Zhang WJ. Effects of Tripterygium wilfordii polyglycosides tablets on inflammatory factors and renal function in IgA nephropathy rats. Chin J Exp Surg 2017; 34: 631-634.
- 50) Yang RC, Zhu XL, Zhang HQ, Li WD. Tripterygium wilfordii an element of Tgf-B1 induced changes of sertoli cell phenotype. Chin Arch Trad Chin Med 2013; 31: 2750-2753.
- 51) Lin N, Sato T, Ito A. Triptolide, a novel diterpenoid triepoxide from Tripterygium wilfordii

Hook. f., suppresses the production and gene expression of pro-matrix metalloproteinases 1 and 3 and augments those of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases 1 and 2 in human synovial fibroblasts. Arthritis Rheum 2001; 44: 2193-2200.

52) Song L, Luan B, Xu QR, Wang XF. Effect of TLR7 gene expression mediating NF-kappaB

signaling pathway on the pathogenesis of bronchial asthma in mice and the intervention role of IFN-gamma. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2021; 25: 866-879.

53) Li JT,Xu C,Li S,Sun XH, Ma J. Experimental study on treatment of IgA nephropathy with Tripterygium wilfordii T4. Shanghai Med J 2003; 26: 19-22.