Application of ultrasound technology in the diagnosis and treatment of digestive tract diseases

W.-X. FU¹, Q. WANG², Y.-S. ZHANG¹, Y. LI³, T. XU¹, S. HE¹, H. REN¹, T. SUN³

Wanxi Fu and Oi Wang contribute equally to this paper

Abstract. – Ultrasound is commonly used in clinical examination which is economic, non-invasive and convenient. Ultrasound can be used for the examination of solid organs and hollow organs. Due to the presence of air, routine ultrasound examination of the digestive tract is not very appropriate, Because of the development of endosonography and its related technology, diagnosis and treatment of gastrointestinal diseases have been improved which is valuable in clinic. This review focused on the application of ultrasound technology in the diagnosis and treatment of digestive tract diseases.

Key Words:

Ultrasound technology, Gastrointestinal diseases, Diagnosis, treatment.

Introduction

Imaging techniques like CT, ultrasound technology, MRI and PET are widely used in modern clinical medicine. All of these technologies can be used to take images of gastrointestinal tract. Because it is economical, non-invasive and non-radioactive features, ultrasound is favored by doctors and patients. Ultrasound has been developed to endoscopic ultrasonography, 3D/4D ultrasound, contrast-enhanced ultrasonography, ultrasonic elastography, strain rate imaging and ultrasound-guided treatment combining with other technologies has already been used in the diagnosis and treatment of digestive diseases.

Application of TAUS in Digestive Diseases

Because of gas in digestive tract, it is difficult to see digestive tract and organs in abdominal cavity clearly by transabdominal ultrasound.

Special conditions are required when observing the hierarchical structure of digestive tract or digestive diseases, e.g., filling liquid into the patient's stomach, which offers a way to observe the hierarchical structure of gastric wall and Gastric ulcer. Similarly, filling liquid's into the patient colon by enema, ulcerative colitis, wallthickening diseases and colorectal cancer through transabdominal ultrasound. Besides, it is helpful in diagnosing appendicitis by observing the hierarchical structure of appendix. Compared with transabdominal ultrasonography, high-frequency ultrasound is much improved in the observation of digestive tract. Nylund et al1 has accomplished ultrasound imaging and thickness-testing recently by 8-12 MHz ultrasound, an average thickness of 0.9-1.2 mm from jejunum to sigmoid colon, 2.1 mm of antrum and 1.6 mm of duodenum. Due to the interference of intestinal contents, it is not easy to see small intestine and the colon wall clearly.

Application of IDUS in Digestive Diseases

Ultrasound endoscope, combining ultrasonography with endoscope, does gastrointestinal examination by putting the ultrasonic probe deep into the digestive tract. Ultrasonic probe provides a more accurate and clearer image. If working together with high-frequency ultrasound or HRUS, a more meticulous observation can be provided.

Image-Forming Principle of Normal Digestive Tract Wall

After the ultrasonic emits by ultrasonic probe reflected by human tissue, the back wave, received by ultrasonic probe, forms images on the ultrasonic instrument. Ultrasound beam forms bright echoes when meet with collagen and fat;

¹Department of Ultrasound in Medicine, Navy General Hospital of PLA, Beijing, PR China

²Outpatient Department, 92301 Units of PLA, Beijing, PR China

³Department of Gastroenterology, Navy General Hospital of PLA, Beijing, PR China

on the contrary, forms dim hypoechoes when meet with muscles. Besides, as different tissues have different acoustic resistance, interface ultrasound forms at the interface between different tissues. Interface ultrasound becomes wider or narrower by the ultrasound of lower organization. It can be observed by 7.5-12.5 MHz ultrasound that there is a 5-layer sound image structure of the digestive tract wall. The first layer observed by ultrasound endoscope comes from the interface between gastrovascular cavity water layer and the surface of mucosa. The first layer can hardly be seen when water sacs around ultrasonic sensor are filled with water and stick to the mucosa. The second layer, corresponding to the rest of mucosa, has a hypoecho. The third layer, corresponding to the lower part of mucosa, has a strong echo. The fourth layer, corresponding to muscularis propria, has a hypoecho. The fifth layer mainly corresponds to subserosa and outer membrane. According to the ultrasound images, however, the third layer is thicker than the lower part of mucosa, and the fourth layer is thinner than the muscularis propria. Kimmey MB et al² compared tissue sections of digestive tract wall, which come from operation excisions or corpse excisions, with the corresponding ultrasonography. They found that the actual corresponding relations of the five-layer ultrasonoscopy of normal digestive tract wall and tissues are surface mucosa, deep mucosa, submucosa and the boundary echo layer between submucosa and muscularis propria, muscularis propria except for the boundary echo layer between muscularis propria and submucosa, serosa and the fat layer under serosa. The thickness of boundary echoes relates to the longitudinal resolution of the ultrasonic detector. The thickness of the high frequency probe is about 300 μ. The structure of mucosa is actually complicated, including superficial mucosa, muscularis mucosae and submucosa. However, these complicated structure cannot be distinguished by low-frequency ultrasound, as the acoustic thickness of normal muscularis mucosae is thinner than the boundary echo area between muscularis mucosae and muscularis propria, which results a blurred echo of muscularis mucosae by the boundary echo. Besides the compounded echo of submosa, only the third layer of low-frequency ultrasound can be formed. When high-frequency ultrasound (above 20 MHz) is adopted or the muscularis mucosae become thicker, different parts of the original third layer can be ob-

served. Two echoes will appear below the mucosa: the superficial one with a strong echo is a boundary echo between muscularis propria and muscularis mucosae, while the deeper one with a dim echo is the muscularis mucosae itself^{3,4}. Besides, it is found that there is a high level echo appears in the place where the low level echo used to be in the fourth layer by high-frequency ultrasound. This is probably the echoes of fibrous texture between internal-circular muscles external-longitudinal muscles or the boundary echoes between the two layer muscles. However, it is not proved that it will benefit the diagnosis of digestive tract clinical diseases if observation of a few more layers is provided.

Ultrasound endoscope Technology

Usually in clinical treatment, the quality of echo and echo-location are used to diagnose lesions and find out the cause of disease^{5,6}. For example, ultrasonography can be used a basis in the diagnosis of lipoma and cyst. If by high-frequency ultrasound, depth of tumor invasion can be tested accurately. The research shows that the accuracy of gastrointestinal tumor T stage diagnosis has reached 90%⁷⁻⁹. However, in other circumstances, ultrasonography can be only used as secondary evidence of differential diagnosis. For example, hypoecho in the third or the fourth layer may have different clinical significance. The accuracy of ultrasonograpy is only 50% these variations. In these cases, biopsy is still needed¹⁰. Generally speaking, leiomyoma of muscularis mucosae and granular cell tumor are performed as hypoecho block of the second or the third layer. Meanwhile, lymphangioma is performed as hypoecho block in the third or the fourth layer. Stromal tumor, leiomyoma of muscularis propria and neurilemmoma are in the fourth layer, while lipomyoma and fibroma are in the third layer. High frequency ultrasound endoscope can also obtain detailed information of digestive tract movement¹¹. Taniguchi et al¹² recorded the varations of the esophageal wall layers when the esophagu swallowed and moved by 20 MHz ultrasonic probe. The research showed that the thickness of different esophageal wall layers change with cavity pressure. Using high-frequency IDUS to check digestive tract movement can learn the irritation of bowel by intestinal allergen. Arslan et al¹³ made a clinical test that by inserting a 20MHz micro probe into duodenum cavity to record clinical manifestation, tunica mucosa, submucosa and muscular thickness before and after the irritation. About 75% percent of the patient showed that their mucosae became thicker, the bowels contracted and the numbers of acoustic image layers changed.

Ultrasonic Elastography

As other organic diseases, the difficulty in diagnosing lesions of gastrointestinal tract is to distinguish benign and malignant lesions, such as in diagnosing adenoma, myoma, ulceration and inflammation. Recently, a new kind of ultrasound technology based on elastoplasticity called ultrasonic elastography or real-time strain imaging technology has come into being. The rationale of ultrasonic elastography is to exert an internal or external static/quasi-static stimulation. By combining ultrasonic imaging with digital signal processing or digital picture processing technique, changes inside tissues can be estimated and elasticity modulus inside tissues can be reflected directly or indirectly. As a result, ultrasonic elastography can distinguish the hard part and the soft part on the digestive tract wall, finding out the distribution of tissues of different hardness. Ultrasonic elastography functions as guidance in determining benign tissues and malignant tissues, showing the boundary between normal tissues and abnormal tissues, for fibrosis makes tissues become harder when canceration¹⁴. According to types of stimulation, ultrasonic elastography can divided into static compression/quasi static compression elastography, blood vessel elastography, myocardial elastography, low-frequency vibrating stimulating ultrasonic elastography, instant or pulse elastography based on pulsed excitation and fast ultrasonic system, acoustic radiation force pulse elastography by acoustic radiation force excitation, radiation force imaging, USVA by ultrasonic excitation and shear wave elastography. Ultrasonic elastography facility currently used is the combination of elastography and Bmode ultrasonic, mainly used in diagnosing breast, thyroid and prostate lesions. A research¹⁵ on digestive system showed that the sensibility, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of ultrasonic elastography is 93.4%, 66.0%, 92.5%, 68.9% and 85.4% in diagnosing pancreatic masses. Research by Rustemovic N16 also showed that Crohn's Diseases in active phase has a higher strain rate than active ulcerative colitis. By using elastography to monitor the movement of stomach, functional gastrointestinal disorder and functional dyspepsia can be diagnosed. However, as the digestive tract wall is a thin layer structure with tension contracting slowly, SRI is facing challenges in the application of digestive tract treatment^{17,18}.

Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound

CEUS (contrast-enhanced ultrasound) is a kind of imaging technology that injects UCA into blood vessels to enhance imaging. Microbubbles of UCA vibrate under ultrasound and scatter strong ultrasonic signals, which make itself the most important characteristic of UCA. The first generation of UCA has air in its microbubbles, which are commonly used as UCA today, with its envelopes made of polymer such as ALB and galactose. The second generation of UCA has high-density inert gas wrapped inside, with its diameter shorter than 6 µm. CEUS makes it possible to get the picture of revascularization, which benefits the evaluation of tissue vitality, the identification of acute/chronic inflammation and the treatment of tumor blood supply¹⁹. Girlich et al²⁰ found that the perfusion of normal intestinal wall and inflamed intestinal wall are different. Schreyer et al detected the activity of Crohn's disease using contrast harmonic ultrasound with quantitative analysis of time-intensity curve^{21,22}. CEUS can also evaluate the efficiency of anti gastrointestinal tumor drug by evaluate the signal intensity curves after the injection of UCA. Lassau et al²³ evaluates Gilvec's research on digestive tract stromal tumor by CEUS. Generally speaking, UCA is safe, except for the pregnant. Anaphylaxis is rare and nephrotoxicity has not yet been reported.

3D and 4D Ultrasound

3D ultrasound, more direct than two-dimensional image, demonstrates the spatial form of observation object. The technology means 3D reconstruction of ultrasound data by using a software that contains different coloring schemes, thus any sections can be divided or calculated²⁴. Intestinal 3D ultrasound reconstruction performs well in imaging hernia, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, intestinal abscess and tumor. As the development of computer data processing, real-time 3D ultrasound has been achieved, which brings about the so-called 4D ultrasound. The layer structure of the digestive tract wall and bowel movement can be presented dynamically by 4D ultrasound.

Ultrasonic Guided Intervention

High-frequency ultrasound is suitable for the diagnosis and evaluation before the operation of digestive tract endoscopic surgery or minimally invasive surgery, for the imaging of soft tissue in submillimeter level can be achieved by this technology. For example, evaluation of lesion invasion depth is needed before the surgery on colonic polyp loop and endoscopic mucosal resection of digestive tract tumor. However, the application of ultrasound endoscope in the treatment of endoscopic mucosal resection and highlevel barret digestive tract with atypical hyperplasia is still controversial. A research showed that the accuracy of ultrasound endoscope in confirming the submucosal tumor invasion is no more than 70%^{25,26}. Besides, high-frequency ultrasound can guide puncture needle and other devices in intervention treatment of digestive tract diseases, with the whole process showing on the ultrasound screen. By using TAUS guidance, ordinary intervention especially parenchymatous organ intervention can be made. However, to small lesions in the digestive tract wall ultrasound endoscope is usually adopted. Ultrasound endoscope is safer in digestive tract surgery when doppler is attached to locate blood vessels and observe their distribution²⁷. Doppler ultrasound can also help with the diagnosis and treatment of digestive tract varices and vascular malformation^{28,29}. Tissue samples can be acquired by ultrasound guidance if lesion of intestinal wall is large, which is very important to clinical diagnosis. If the lesion is deep, small or inconvenient to resect, ultrasound guided EBUS-FNA is needed. Ultrasound guided EBUS-FNA is a kind of intervention commonly used. The needle can be monitored real time and a short-distant, safe and effective puncture path can be established to get the samples of cells or body fluid. FNA under ultrasound guidance can be used in not only the biopsy of pancreas or mediastinal lymph nodes outside the digestive tract, but also intraluminal lesions like tumor and cyst. Besides, ultrasound can also achieve targeted improvement on drug release and absorption. Active ingredient of drugs and even gene segment, wrapped in special mechanical chemical shell, are injected into blood, forming microbubble sediment. When these microbubbles reach the target section, they are exposed in high-energy ultrasonic. At this moment, the shell of drugs fall apart and the active ingredient is released. In this way, local drug concentration is promoted, with the systemic toxicity reduced^{30,31}. Ultrasound with high energy can make cell membranes shortly open to transmembrane drugs, promoting drug ingestion, which is called "Sonoporation"^{32,33}.

Conclusions

Generally speaking, the development of ultrasound technology has improved the diagnosis and treatment of digestive tract diseases. The application of puncture under ultrasonic guidance and ultrasound endoscope has been well-developed. At the same time, ultrasonic elastography and ultrasonic inductive local drug delivery and absorption are still to be improved and clinical assessed.

Conflict of Interest

The Authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- NYLUND K, HAUSKEN T, ODEGAARD S, EIDE GE, GILJA OH. Gastrointestinal wall thickness measured with transabdominal ultrasonography and its relationship to demographic factors in healthy subjects. Ultraschall Med 2012; 33: E225-232.
- KIMMEY MB, MARTIN RW, HAGGITT RC, WANG KY, FRANKLIN DW, SILVERSTEIN FE. Histologic correlates of gastrointestinal ultrasound images. Gastroenterology 1989; 96: 433-441.
- NYLUND K, LEH S, IMMERVOLL H, MATRE K, SKARSTEIN A, HAUSKEN T, GILJA OH, BIRGER NESJE L, ØDEGAARD S. Crohn's disease: Comparison of in vitro ultrasonographic images and histology. Scand J Gastroenterol 2008; 43:719-726.
- ODEGAARD S, NESJE LB, LAERUM OD, KIMMEY MB. High-frequency ultrasonographic imaging of the gastrointestinal wall. Exp Rev Med Dev 2012; 9: 263-273.
- HWANG JH, KIMMEY MB. The incidental upper gastrointestinal subepithelial mass. Gastroenterology 2004; 126: 301-307.
- 6) HWANG JH, RULYAK SD, KIMMEY MB, AMERICAN GASTROENTEROLOGICAL ASSOCIATION I. American Gastroenterological Association Institute technical review on the management of gastric subepithelial masses. Gastroenterology 2006; 130: 2217-2228.
- Puli SR, Reddy JB, Bechtold ML, Antillon D, Ibdah JA, Antillon MR. Staging accuracy of esophageal cancer by endoscopic ultrasound: a meta-analysis and systematic review. World J Gastroenterol 2008; 14: 1479-1490.
- 8) PULI SR, BATAPATI KRISHNA REDDY J, BECHTOLD ML, AN-TILLON MR, IBDAH JA. How good is endoscopic ul-

- trasound for TNM staging of gastric cancers? A meta-analysis and systematic review. World J Gastroenterol 2008; 14: 4011-4019.
- PULI SR, BECHTOLD ML, REDDY JB, CHOUDHARY A, AN-TILLON MR, BRUGGE WR. How good is endoscopic ultrasound in differentiating various T stages of rectal cancer? Meta-analysis and systematic review. Ann Surg Oncol 2009; 16: 254-265.
- HWANG JH, SAUNDERS MD, RULYAK SJ, SHAW S, NI-ETSCH H, KIMMEY MB. A prospective study comparing endoscopy and EUS in the evaluation of GI subepithelial masses. Gastrointest Endosc 2005; 62: 202-208.
- ODEGAARD S, NESJE LB, HOFF DA, GILJA OH, GREGERSEN H. Morphology and motor function of the gastrointestinal tract examined with endosonography. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 2858-2863.
- 12) TANIGUCHI DK, MARTIN RW, TROWERS EA, DENNIS MB, JR., ODEGAARD S, SILVERSTEIN FE. Changes in esophageal wall layers during motility: measurements with a new miniature ultrasound suction device. Gastrointest Endosc 1993; 39: 146-152.
- Arslan G, Ødegaard S, Elsayed S, Florvaag E, Berstad A. Food allergy and intolerance: response to intestinal provocation monitored by endosonography. Eur J Ultrasound 2002; 15: 29-36.
- 14) ABBAS O, MAHALINGAM M. Desmoplasia: not always a bad thing. Histopathology 2011; 58: 643-659.
- 15) ARCIDIACONO PG. Endoscopic ultrasound elastography. Gastroenterolo Hepatol 2012; 8: 48-67.
- 16) RUSTEMOVIC N, CUKOVIC-CAVKA S, BRINAR M, RADI D, OPACIC M, OSTOJIC R, VUCELIC B. A pilot study of transrectal endoscopic ultrasound elastography in inflammatory bowel disease. BMC Gastroenterol 2011; 11: 113.
- 17) GILJA OH, HEIMDAL A, HAUSKEN T, GREGERSEN H, MATRE K, BERSTAD A, ØDEGAARD S. Strain during gastric contractions can be measured using Doppler ultrasonography. Ultrasound Med Biol 2002; 28: 1457-1465.
- 18) GILJA OH, HATLEBAKK JG, ODEGAARD S, BERSTAD A, VI-OLA I, GIERTSEN C, HAUSKEN T, GREGERSEN H. Advanced imaging and visualization in gastrointestinal disorders. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 1408-1421.
- MIGALEDDU V, QUAIA E, SCANO D, VIRGILIO G. Inflammatory activity in Crohn disease: ultrasound findings. Abdom Imaging 2008; 33: 589-597.
- 20) GIRLICH C, JUNG EM, IESALNIEKS I, SCHREYER AG, ZORGER N, STRAUCH U, SCHACHERER D. Quantitative assessment of bowel wall vascularisation in Crohn's disease with contrast-enhanced ultrasound and perfusion analysis. Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 2009; 43: 141-148.
- 21) SCHREYER AG, FINKENZELLER T, GÖSSMANN H, DANESCHNEJAD M, MÜLLER-WILLE R, SCHACHERER D, ZUBER-JERGER I, STRAUCH U, FEUERBACH S, JUNG EM. Microcirculation and perfusion with contrast en-

- hanced ultrasound (CEUS) in Crohn's disease: first results with linear contrast harmonic imaging (CHI). Clin Hemorheol Microcirc 2008;40:143-155.
- 22) LASSAU N, LAMURAGLIA M, CHAMI L, LECLÈRE J, BONVAL-OT S, TERRIER P, ROCHE A, LE CESNE A. Gastrointestinal stromal tumors treated with imatinib: monitoring response with contrast-enhanced sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006; 187: 1267-1273.
- 23) PISCAGLIA F, NOLSØE C, DIETRICH CF, COSGROVE DO, GILIA OH, BACHMANN NIELSEN M, ALBRECHT T, BAROZZI L, BERTOLOTTO M, CATALANO O, CLAUDON M, CLEVERT DA, CORREAS JM, D'ONOFRIO M, DRUDI FM, EYDING J, GIOVANNINI M, HOCKE M, IGNEE A, JUNG EM, KLAUSER AS, LASSAU N, LEEN E, MATHIS G, SAFTOIU A, SEIDEL G, SIDHU PS, TER HAAR G, TIMMERMAN D, WESKOTT HP. The EFSUMB Guidelines and Recommendations on the Clinical Practice of Contrast Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS): update 2011 on non-hepatic applications. Ultraschall Med 2012; 33: 33-59.
- 24) GILIA OH, HAUSKEN T, ODEGAARD S, BERSTAD A. Ultrasonography and three-dimensional methods of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005; 17: 277-282.
- CHANDRASEKHARA V, GINSBERG GG. Endoscopic mucosal resection: not your father's polypectomy anymore. Gastroenterology 2011; 141: 42-49.
- 26) THOMAS T, GILBERT D, KAYE PV, PENMAN I, AITHAL GP, RAGUNATH K. High-resolution endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasound for evaluation of early neoplasia in Barrett's esophagus. Surg Endosc 2010; 24: 1110-1116.
- SAFTOIU A, VILMANN P, HASSAN H, KRAG JACOBSEN G. Utility of colour Doppler endoscopic ultrasound evaluation and guided therapy of submucosal tumours of the upper gastrointestinal tract. Ultraschall Med 2005; 26: 487-495.
- 28) EL-SAADANY M, JALIL S, IRISAWA A, SHIBUKAWA G, OHIRA H, BHUTANI MS. EUS for portal hypertension: a comprehensive and critical appraisal of clinical and experimental indications. Endoscopy 2008; 40: 690-696.
- FOLVIK G, NESJE LB, BERSTAD A, ODEGAARD S. Endosonography-guided endoscopic band ligation of Dieulafoy's malformation: a case report. Endoscopy 2001; 33: 636-638.
- Hung SH, Yeh CK, Tsai TH, Chen T, Chen RC. A simple method for quantifying ultrasound-triggered microbubble destruction. Ultrasound Med Biol 2011; 37: 949-957.
- CAVALIERI F, ZHOU M, ASHOKKUMAR M. The design of multifunctional microbubbles for ultrasound image-guided cancer therapy. Curr Top Med Chem 2010; 10: 1198-1210.
- 32) Voigt JU. Ultrasound molecular imaging. Methods 2009; 48: 92-97.
- POSTEMA M, GILJA OH. Contrast-enhanced and targeted ultrasound. World J Gastroenterol 2011; 17: 28-41.