Associations between mental illness and cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies

H. KIM¹, K. KIM², Y.H. KIM^{3,4}

¹Department of Laboratory Medicine, Pusan National University Hospital, Busan, Republic of Korea ²Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Kosin University Gospel Hospital, Busan, Republic of Korea

³Department of Biomedical Informatics, ⁴Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, Pusan National University, Busan, Republic of Korea

Abstract. – OBJECTIVE: Considering the impact of mental illness and cancer on the society, the relationship between the two diseases should be assessed. This study aimed at determining the association between mental illness and cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The Embase and Medline databases were searched on October 21, 2020. Cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies were eligible for study inclusion. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale was used to qualitatively assess the risk of bias. Funnel plots were drawn to evaluate the risks of bias across the included studies.

RESULTS: We included 58 studies from 16 countries, incorporating approximately 30 national databases and 25 million individuals. Patients with psychiatric disorders did not show an increased risk of developing cancer. However, patients with cancer had a significantly increased risk of developing mental illness. The survival rates of patients with mental illness according to cancer occurrence and patients with cancer according to mental illness occurrence were significantly decreased.

CONCLUSIONS: Clinicians should conduct early screening to ensure that appropriate interventions for mental illness are administered in patients with cancer. Due to the high incidence of death in patients with mental illnesses due to unnatural causes, such as suicide, homicide, and accidents, clinicians should be aware of the importance of the treatment and management of these patients.

Key Words:

Mental illness, Cancer, Curvival rate, Meta-analysis.

Introduction

Cancer is the second most common cause of death worldwide, with approximately 18.1 million new cases and 9.5 million cancer-related deaths in

2018¹. Cancer diagnosis and treatment can cause physical, mental, and financial burdens to the patients². A recent systematic review³ reported that mental illnesses in patients with cancer result in additional healthcare cost, ranging from \$2,213 (in 2009) to \$11,009 (in 2003). Early screening and systematic interventions for mental illnesses in patients with cancer are important as a diagnosis of mental illness can lead to poor response and prognosis and, ultimately, low survival rate^{4,5}. Considering the impact of these two diseases on the society, the relationship between cancer and mental illness should be assessed.

Several studies⁶⁻¹⁰ have attempted to clarify this relationship. However, the risk of developing cancer in patients with mental illnesses remains controversial. Some studies reported an increased risk^{6,7}, while others reported low or statistically insignificant risk⁸⁻¹⁰. Contrary to the results regarding the incidence of cancer in patients with mental illness, some studies^{11,12} have shown decreased survival rates in patients with mental illnesses due to cancer, which were attributed to physical, psychological, and social burdens in these patients. Because most previous reports focused on the relationship between cancer and two subtypes of mental illness, a comprehensive analysis through an integrated approach is required.

Previous studies^{13,14} attempted to elucidate the relationship between cancer and mental illnesses using national registries. Ronaldson et al¹³ (2020) analyzed the difference between hospital admission and hospital stay due to mental illness. Davis et al¹⁴ (2020) evaluated the risk of cancer development and cancer-specific mortality in patients with mental illness but included only studies on patients with advanced-stage cancer in the association analysis.

Corresponding Authors: Kihun Kim, MD; e-mail: kihun7603@naver.com Yun Hak Kim, MD; e-mail: yunhak10510@pusan.ac.kr Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have assessed the association between cancer and mental illness *in toto*. Thus, this study comprehensively examined the relationship between cancer and mental illness.

Materials and Methods

Protocol and Registration

This systematic review was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines¹⁵. Our research topic was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) on November 19, 2020 and approved on December 18, 2020 (registration number: CRD42020221689, CRD42020221687).

Eligibility Criteria

Cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies were eligible for study inclusion. Studies with mathematically unreliable risk values were excluded from the review. We also excluded studies that lacked clearly identified diagnostic criteria. Studies reporting values unrelated to the topic were also excluded.

Search Strategy

The search was performed in the Embase and Medline databases on October 21, 2020. The search terms were largely classified into three categories (psychiatric disorder, cancer, and effect measures) and combined with the "AND" conjunction. The related search words were discussed by the authors. The detailed search terms were as follows: (cancer OR malignancy, malignant neoplasm OR neoplasm, tumor OR carcinoma OR squamous cell carcinoma OR carcinogenesis OR precancer OR cancer in situ) AND (mental disease OR bipolar disorder OR psychosis OR schizophrenia OR mental deficiency OR mental illness OR major depression OR depression OR posttraumatic stress disorder OR hypomania OR mania OR panic) AND (ratio OR risk OR hazard OR outcome OR prognosis OR mortality OR morbidity OR prevalence OR incidence OR odds ratio OR hazard ratio). Search terms were limited to the title or abstract.

Study Selection

We searched for articles published between 1966 and 2020. Mathes and Pieper¹⁶ (2017) revealed that, in performing a systematic review, observational studies could be considered cohort studies if rel-

ative risk calculations between different groups are possible. Two authors (KK and YHK) independently conducted the literature search and checked the title and abstract of each study. The same authors reviewed the full-text articles for inclusion. Disagreements were resolved through discussion. The included mental illness types were those with International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-10-CM) F codes (F01-F99). To avoid selection bias, studies that analyzed only certain subgroups or groups that received specific interventions were excluded.

Data Extraction

We extracted the title, abstract, journal source, author name, publication year, and publication type during the first screening using a search tool. During the full-text assessments, we obtained additional information on the study design, number of samples, longest follow-up, World Health Organization region, adjusted variables, diagnostic criteria for cancer, and diagnostic criteria for mental illness.

Summary Measures

Odds ratios (ORs) were used to report the associations between mental illness and cancer. Hazard ratios (HRs) were used to report differences in incidence and survival. We aimed to calculate not only unadjusted but also adjusted values for clinical variables, such as age, sex, race, and medical comorbidities in each study. The adjusted variables are presented in the **Supplementary Table I.** Subgroup analyses were performed according to the detailed classification of cancer and mental illness.

Risk of Bias in Individual Studies

The Newcastle-Ottawa scale was used to qualitatively assess the risk of bias for the included cohort and case-control studies¹⁷. Cross-sectional studies were assessed using the adapted version of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale presented by Her $zog et al^{18}$ (2013). The assessment tools and results are presented in the Supplementary Text. Both authors (KK and YK) independently assessed the risks of bias of the included studies and verified the quality of evidence. Any discrepancies in the assessment were resolved through discussion. Cohort and case-control study scores were converted into three categories of "good," "fair," and "poor" according to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) standard. We evaluated the study quality by establishing a criterion similar to the AHRO standard for cross-sectional studies.

Publication Bias Across Studies

Funnel plots were drawn to evaluate the risks of bias across the included studies based on the ORs and HRs using RevMan 5. Egger's regression test was performed using Stata 13 software to statistically evaluate publication bias.

Statistical Analysis

The values and 95% confidence intervals were extracted from the papers when available. If the OR was not presented, OR and 95% confidence intervals were calculated based on the frequency of the 2×2 contingency table. The pooled OR and HR values for the included studies were calculated using RevMan 5, and forest plots were drawn. The heterogeneity was evaluated according to the classification of I² statistics proposed by Higgins et al¹⁹. The heterogeneity was considered low, moderate, and high for I² values of 25%, 50%, and 75%, respectively. If the heterogeneity was >50%, the random-effects method was used; otherwise, the fixed-effects method was used as previously described^{20,21}. The most unadjusted values in the included studies were preferentially selected to calculate the pooled unadjusted value, while the most adjusted value in the included studies was preferentially selected to calculate the pooled adjusted value. Cancer-specific survival was preferentially used to calculate the survival rate; otherwise, relapse-free or overall survival was used. Forest plots were drawn to clearly visualize synthesized risk. The *p*-value<0.05 was considered to have a statistical significance.

Results

Study Selection and Characteristics

A total of 21,545 records were searched, and 306 articles were extracted through two screening processes. Finally, 58 studies were included after the full-text assessment. These studies included 42 cohort studies, 12 cross-sectional studies, and four case-control studies. This study included approximately 25 million samples. The characteristics of the included studies are presented in **Supplementary Table I**. The PRIS-MA flowchart was shown in Figure 1.

Synthesis of Results

Associations between mental illness and cancer

This analysis included 15 studies (four cohort studies, four case-control studies, and seven cross-sectional studies). The pooled analysis showed an unadjusted OR of 1.85 (1.36-2.52) and an adjusted OR of 1.64 (1.20-2.25) (Figure 2 and **Supplementary Figure 1**). In the subgroup analysis, cancer was significantly associated with major depressive disorder (MDD) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) but not with Alzheimer's disease (AD) or bipolar disorder (BD) (Table I).

Risk of cancer development in patients with mental illness

This analysis included 5 cohort studies. The pooled analysis showed an unadjusted HR (uHR) of 1.05 (0.82-1.34) and adjusted HR (aHR) of 1.07 (0.85-1.33) (Figure 3A and **Supplementary Figure 2A**).

Risk of mental illness development in patients with cancer

This analysis included 10 cohort studies. The pooled analysis showed uHR and aHR of 1.66 (1.30-2.12) and 1.66 (1.28-2.15), respectively (Figure 3B and **Supplementary Figure 2B**). Subgroup analysis showed that MDD in patients with cancer was significant for both uHR and aHR but not significant for AD (Table I). The risk of mental illness development was significantly higher in patients with breast cancer (Table I).

Differences in Survival Rates in Psychiatric Patients According to Cancer Occurrence

This analysis included 7 cohort studies. The pooled analysis showed an uHR and aHR of 1.32 (1.16-1.51) and 1.38 (1.11-1.70), respectively (Figure 4A and **Supplementary Figure 3A**).

Differences in Survival Rates in Patients with Cancer According to Mental Illness Occurrence

This analysis included 14 cohort studies. The pooled analysis showed an uHR and aHR of 1.52 (1.28-1.80) and 1.53 (1.30-1.80), respectively (Figure 4B and **Supplementary Figure 3B**). Patients with cancer showed significantly low survival rates due to MDD and schizophrenia but not due to substance use disorder (Table 1). Patients with lung cancer and breast cancer showed a significantly low survival rate due to mental illness (Table I).

Risk of Bias Within Studies

Particularly, 40 of 42 cohort studies, 7 of 13 cross-sectional studies, and 2 of 4 case-control studies were rated "good." The detailed assessments are shown in **Supplementary Tables II**, **III**, **and IV**.



Figure 1. PRISMA flowchart.

Disease		Con	ntrol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Abdel-Rahman 2020	741	3043	6433	29675	7.1%	1.16 [1.07, 1.27]	-
Agustini 2020	393	3660	1486	15450	7.1%	1.13 [1.01, 1.27]	-
Carney 2006	119	15454	3438	714365	7.0%	1.60 [1.34, 1.93]	-
Cohn 2018	129	19143	519	74473	7.0%	0.97 [0.80, 1.17]	+
Desplenter 2012	1135	7298	201	14596	7.0%	13.19 [11.32, 15.37]	
Glaesmer 2011	10	19	57	1014	4.3%	18.65 [7.29, 47.73]	
Han 2017	589	6783	312	6783	7.0%	1.97 [1.71, 2.27]	-
Hippisley-Cox 2007	244	40441	988	193290	7.0%	1.18 [1.03, 1.36]	-
Kim 2013	72	281	2596	14921	6.8%	1.64 [1.25, 2.15]	
Klapheke 2020	534	1977	2464	9885	7.1%	1.11 [1.00, 1.24]	-
Kugathasan 2019	4456	842195	25754	4590626	7.1%	0.94 [0.91, 0.97]	•
Lin 2019	235	7355	329	29420	7.0%	2.92 [2.46, 3.46]	-
Lu 2020	548	94626	11399	2838765	7.1%	1.44 [1.33, 1.57]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pirl 2009	22	243	563	4890	6.2%	0.77 [0.49, 1.20]	
Stuber 2011	589	6542	8	374	5.2%	4.53 [2.24, 9.17]	
Total (95% CI)		1049060		8538527	100.0%	1.85 [1.36, 2.52]	◆
Total events	9816		56547				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.35; Chi ²	= 1404.54	, df = 14 ((P < 0.000	01); l² = 99	9%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.92 (F	, < 0.0001)				0.02 0.1 1 10

Figure 2. Forest plot of the pooled uOR between any mental illness and any cancer. uOR, unadjusted odds ratio.

Publication Bias Across Studies

Funnel plots for the OR are presented in **Supplementary Figure 4**. No significant publication bias was observed according to Egger's regression test (p=0.089).

Discussion

This study examined the relationship between mental illness and cancer. We analyzed 58 papers from 16 countries, including 30 national databases and 25 million individuals. Our meta-analysis is a novel way to present integrative results featuring all types of cancers and mental illness. We observed a positive association between mental illness and cancer based on an increased OR. The integration of the HR revealed an increased occurrence of mental illness in patients with cancer. Furthermore, we observed low survival rates due to cancer occurrence in patients with mental illness and due to mental illness in patients with cancer.

We separately analyzed ORs and HR. The effect measures of OR and HR are often applied interchangeably in the meta-analyses and are statistically integrated into one for relatively rare diseases in general populations²². The OR shows the association between two diseases²³. The HR shows the temporal progression of death or development of a disease within a group²³ and is also a form of risk ratio (RR) independent of the study period²². However, their meanings slightly differ and should be applied according to the study population and design. The included studies in the HR analysis were all cohort studies. We considered that separately analyzing HRs and RRs could lead to various interpretations among investigators.

A cancer diagnosis generates severe psychological distress in individuals and induces nonspecific emotions, including shock, denial, depression, guilt, anxiety, and anger^{24,25}. Approximately 5-50% of patients with cancer have emotional distress²⁶. These emotional changes can lead to MDD, AD, and adjustment disorders²⁷. Moreover, such psychiatric morbidities in patients with cancer could reduce their survival rates by adversely affecting the risk of suicide, adherence to treatment, support from family members, social relationships, and quality of life²⁸. Cleeland²⁹ (2003) suggested that cytokines might provide a biological basis linking cancer to the occurrence of mental symptoms. Reiche et al³⁰ also established a biological plausibility that depression or stress in patients with cancer affects tumor progression and survival by impairing the immune system via dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and autonomic nervous system. The OR and HR results in patients with cancer can be used to establish a wider range of logical epidemiological grounds for this claim.

Mood disorders, such as MDD and BD, were significantly associated with cancer, while schizophrenia was not. In fact, the observed cancer risk in patients with schizophrenia was similar to that in the general population, despite the presence of many risk factors (e.g., smoking and obesity)³¹.

\neg			Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI			
Chou 2011	-0.4463	0.0329	23.0%	0.64 [0.60, 0.68]					
Huang 2015	0.3001	0.1045	20.1%	1.35 [1.10, 1.66]					
Momen 2020	-0.1985	0.0126	23.3%	0.82 [0.80, 0.84]					
Penninx 1998	0.5188	0.2596	11.7%	1.68 [1.01, 2.79]					
Tringale 2016	0.3507	0.0692	21.8%	1.42 [1.24, 1.63]		-			
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.05 [0.82, 1.34]		+			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.07; Chi ² = 149.67, d	df = 4 (P	< 0.00001); I ² = 97%			- 10		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.39 (P = 0.70)				0.1 0.2	0.5 I Z	5 10		
-									
В				Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio			
Study or Subaroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV Random 95% Cl			
Akechi 2020									
	1.0852	0.0338	10.8%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16]		+			
Chen 2017	1.0852 0.1398	0.0338 0.0662	10.8% 10.6%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668	10.8% 10.6% 10.6%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51]		-			
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493	10.8% 10.6% 10.6% 10.7%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706	10.8% 10.6% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218	10.8% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019 Lu 2020	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653 0.207	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218 0.0905	10.8% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8% 10.3%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02] 1.23 [1.03, 1.47]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019 Lu 2020 Ng 2019	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653 0.207 0.5188	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218 0.0905 0.0249	10.8% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8% 10.3% 10.9%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02] 1.23 [1.03, 1.47] 1.68 [1.60, 1.76]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019 Lu 2020 Ng 2019 Polsky D. 2005	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653 0.207 0.5188 0.1222	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218 0.0905 0.0249 0.1276	10.8% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8% 10.3% 10.9% 9.8%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02] 1.23 [1.03, 1.47] 1.68 [1.60, 1.76] 1.13 [0.88, 1.45]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019 Lu 2020 Ng 2019 Polsky D. 2005 Sun 2017	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653 0.207 0.5188 0.1222 1.0543	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218 0.0905 0.0249 0.1276 0.0218	10.8% 10.6% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8% 10.3% 10.9% 9.8% 10.9%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02] 1.23 [1.03, 1.47] 1.68 [1.60, 1.76] 1.13 [0.88, 1.45] 2.87 [2.75, 3.00]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019 Lu 2020 Ng 2019 Polsky D. 2005 Sun 2017 Total (95% CI)	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653 0.207 0.5188 0.1222 1.0543	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218 0.0905 0.0249 0.1276 0.0218	10.8% 10.6% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8% 10.3% 10.9% 9.8% 10.9% 100.0%	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02] 1.23 [1.03, 1.47] 1.68 [1.60, 1.76] 1.13 [0.88, 1.45] 2.87 [2.75, 3.00] 1.66 [1.30, 2.12]					
Chen 2017 Hu 2014 Hu 2018 Hung 2017 Lloyd 2019 Lu 2020 Ng 2019 Polsky D. 2005 Sun 2017 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	1.0852 0.1398 0.7885 0.4187 0.1484 0.5653 0.207 0.5188 0.1222 1.0543 0.14; Chi ² = 642.10, c	0.0338 0.0662 0.0668 0.0493 0.0706 0.4218 0.0905 0.0249 0.1276 0.0218	10.8% 10.6% 10.6% 10.7% 10.5% 4.8% 10.3% 10.9% 9.8% 10.9% 100.0% < 0.00001	2.96 [2.77, 3.16] 1.15 [1.01, 1.31] 2.20 [1.93, 2.51] 1.52 [1.38, 1.67] 1.16 [1.01, 1.33] 1.76 [0.77, 4.02] 1.23 [1.03, 1.47] 1.68 [1.60, 1.76] 1.13 [0.88, 1.45] 2.87 [2.75, 3.00] 1.66 [1.30, 2.12]);] ² = 99%	L				

Figure 3. A, Forest plot of the pooled uHR for the development of any cancer in any patient with mental illness. B, Forest plot of the pooled uHR for the development of any mental illness in patients with any cancer. uHR, unadjusted hazard ratio.

One explanation is that psychiatric drugs have potential anticancer properties³². Another explanation is the relatively low screening rate, underdiagnosis, and premature death due to other comorbidities in these patients³³. Surprisingly, PTSD had a particularly high OR in mood disorders. PTSD occurs when a life-threatening disease, such as a cancer diagnosis or treatment, acts as a traumatic stressor³⁴. The prevalence rate of PTSD in patients with cancer was 22%, which was significantly higher than that in the general population³⁵. PTSD is likely to be misdiagnosed as MDD or AD, which can lead to other mental illnesses³⁵. PTSD also affects social relationships, activities, and retu rn to work³⁶. While the accurate diagnosis and treatment of PTSD are essential compared to other mental illnesses, PTSD is not well recognized in patients with cancer³⁵. Thus, clinicians should be aware of the importance of early screening and intervention for patients with cancer with various mental illnesses, especially PTSD.

Subgroup analysis according to the cancer type revealed increased occurrence of mental illnesses,

such as MDD and AD, in patients with breast cancer. In patients with breast and lung cancer, the occurrence of mental illnesses negatively affects survival. Each value was not significantly different from the value of the integrated results. No unique rational explanations for the development of psychiatric disorders have been observed for each cancer. Future studies should analyze the associations between various cancer subtypes and mental illnesses.

In this meta-analysis, while the risk of cancer development in patients with mental illnesses was >1, this finding was not statistically significant. Thus, there remain questions regarding the difference in cancer occurrence in patients with mental illnesses. Higher proportions of individuals with mental illnesses have unhealthy lifestyles (smoking, drug or alcohol use, and unsafe sexual activity)³⁷. Moreover, some medications used for psychiatric treatment can cause obesity³⁸. Since these factors could be risk factors for cancer, it might be reasonable for patients with mental illnesses to have an increased risk of cancer. However, there is currently no clear evidence of increased cancer

А										
21 J		05	144 - 1 - 1 - 4	Hazard Ratio		Hazard Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Alobaidi 2020	0.0392	0.1151	15.1%	1.04 [0.83, 1.30]						
Baillargeon 2011	0.27	0.0158	25.9%	1.31 [1.27, 1.35]						
Ko 2019	0.0583	0.2117	7.5%	1.06 [0.70, 1.61]						
Kugathasan 2019	0.3507	0.0373	24.4%	1.42 [1.32, 1.53]						
Pan 2016	0.7599	0.1051	16.2%	2.14 [1.74, 2.63]						
Truyers 2011	-0.1625	0.2606	5.5%	0.85 [0.51, 1.42]						
Whooley 1998	0	0.2606	5.5%	1.00 [0.60, 1.67]						
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.32 [1.16, 1.51]		•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02: Chi ² = 34.27. df	= 6 (P <	0.00001)	$ ^2 = 82\%$	H		+			
Test for overall effect: $7 = 4.10 (P < 0.0001)$					0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	5	10		
	,					Low risk High risk				
_										
В				Userand Datia		Uses and Datis				
Chudu an Culumann	to an Subarray I an Ulamond Datial SE Wainkt			Hazard Ratio Hazard Ratio						
Study or Subgroup	Iog[Hazard Ratio]	SE	weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI				
Affrman 2019	0.1484	0.0412	9.3%	1.16 [1.07, 1.26]						
Chan 2015	0.793	0.2668	5.1%	2.21 [1.31, 3.73]						
Chang 2013	-0.755	0.1504	7.4%	0.47 [0.35, 0.63]		-				
Chou 2011	0.6419	0.0391	9.3%	1.90 [1.76, 2.05]						
Eskelinen 2017	0.7747	0.4605	2.7%	2.17 [0.88, 5.35]						
Goodwin 2004	0.3507	0.1166	8.1%	1.42 [1.13, 1.78]						
Jansen 2018	0.5008	0.11	8.3%	1.65 [1.33, 2.05]						
Kanesvaran 2011	0.5933	0.1728	7.0%	1.81 [1.29, 2.54]						
Kisely 2016	0.7747	0.0759	8.9%	2.17 [1.87, 2.52]						
Mols 2013	0.8838	0.1398	1.1%	2.42 [1.84, 3.18]		_				
Sullivan 2016	0.2151	0.0565	9.1%	1.24 [1.11, 1.39]		· · ·				
Zhu 2017	0.5988	0.0318	9.4%	1.82 [1.71, 1.94]						
Zhu 2020	0.1989	0.1276	7.9%	1.22 [0.95, 1.57]						
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.52 [1.28, 1.80]		•				
				_	_					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.08; Chi ² = 216.13, c	dt = 12 (F	< 0.0000	$(1); 1^2 = 94\%$	01 00		÷	10		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	0.08; Chi ² = 216.13, c Z = 4.71 (P < 0.00001	df = 12 (F 1)	< 0.0000	J1); I ² = 94%	0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2 Low risk High risk	5	10		

Figure 4. A, Forest plot of the pooled uHR for event-free survival due to any cancer in any patient with mental illness. B, Forest plot of the pooled uHR for event-free survival due to any mental illness in patients with any cancer. uHR, unadjusted hazard ratio.

occurrence in patients with mental illnesses³⁷. One reasonable explanation for this phenomenon is the low screening rate. Low proportions of patients with mental illnesses underwent colorectal, cervical and prostate cancer screening³⁹. Moreover, the more severe the mental illness, the lower the screening rate⁴⁰. Another valid explanation is the longer symptom-to-diagnosis period in individuals with mental illness compared to that in individuals without mental illness³⁷. In one study, the symptom-to-diagnosis period was about three times longer in patients with mental illness (90 days) than in those without mental illness (35 days)⁴¹. The actual risk of cancer development in patients with mental illness might be masked, due to unscreened cases⁴². In the present study, although the risk was >1, this finding was not statistically significant. Considering the aforementioned effects, the actual risk is likely higher. Thus, psychiatrists should

not only try to correct modifiable risk factors but also recognize the importance of cancer screening in individuals with mental illness.

Several studies^{11,12} have reported low survival rate in patients with mental illness due to cancer occurrence, as clarified by the results of the present meta-analysis. Although mortality might increase due to physical and psychological burdens influenced by cancer, a history of mental illness could be a risk factor for increased mortality. As mentioned above, the time to diagnosis is prolonged in individuals with mental illness. Delayed examinations can reduce survival rates because cancer is diagnosed at a more advanced stage43. Moreover, patients with mental illness are less likely to receive specialized interventions after a cancer diagnosis³⁷. Kisely and Crowe¹² reported lower rates of colorectal resection, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy in patients with mental illnesses compared to those

Subgroup	Number of studies	Number of cohort studies	Unadjusted value	Adjusted value					
Associations between cancer and mental illness (OR)									
All cancer types – all psychiatric disorders	15	4	1.85 (1.36-2.52)	1.64 (1.20-2.25)					
All cancer types – major depressive disorder	13	2	1.95 (1.33-2.86)	1.95 (1.30-2.94)					
All cancer types – anxiety disorder	6	2	2.38 (0.98-5.74)	2.27 (0.86-5.99)					
All cancer types – schizophrenia	3	2	1.16 (0.84-1.60)	1.12 (0.80-1.57)					
All cancer types – bipolar disorder	4	1	1.39 (1.22-1.58)	1.33 (0.77-2.31)					
All cancer types – PTSD	5	1	3.34 (1.63-6.86)	2.41 (1.93-3.01)					
Studies examining the risks of cancer in patients with mental illness (HR)									
All cancer types – patients with all mental illnesses	10	10	1.66 (1.30-2.12)	1.66 (1.28-2.15)					
Breast cancer – patients with all mental illnesses	3	3	1.85 (1.21-2.82)	1.74 (1.07-2.83)					
All cancer types - patients with major depressive disorder	9	9	1.48 (1.17-1.87)	1.47 (1.16-1.87)					
All cancer types – patients with anxiety disorder	3	3	1.87 (0.47-4.73)	1.92 (0.71-5.20)					
Studies examining the risks of mental illness in patients with cancer (HR)									
All mental illnesses – patients with all cancer types	14	14	1.46 (1.18-1.80)	1.46 (1.24-1.72)					
Major depressive disorder – patients with all cancer types	12	12	1.46 (1.21-1.75)	1.42 (1.20-1.68)					
Anxiety disorder – patients with all cancer types	3	3	1.43 (1.02-2.02)	1.36 (0.87-2.12)					
Schizophrenia – patients with all cancer types	2	2	1.63 (1.11-2.41)	1.63 (1.11-2.41)					
Substance use disorder – patients with all cancer types	3	3	1.69 (0.92-3.13)	1.70 (0.92-3.15)					
All mental illnesses – patients with lung cancer	3	3	1.34 (1.08-1.65)	1.31 (1.05-1.63)					
All mental illnesses – patients with breast cancer	4	4	1.42 (1.25-1.62)	1.45 (1.27-1.66)					
Major depressive disorder - patients with breast cancer	5	5	1.47 (1.23-1.75)	1.44 (1.17-1.78)					
Anxiety disorder – patients with breast cancer	2	2	1.62 (0.97-2.71)	1.55 (0.86-2.82)					

Table I. Subgroup analyses between cancer and mental illness.

*PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; OR, odds ratio; HR, hazard ratio.

in the general population. As patients with both cancer and mental illness have low survival rate regardless of previous diseases, the oncologists and psychiatrists treating these patients must pay close attention to the signs of either illness.

Despite these explanations, the decrease in survival rate resulting from cancer occurrence in patients with mental illness was lower than our expectations. Depending on the study design, the effect measure and its meaning differed, making it difficult to quantitatively compare the values to those in the general population. In the USA, cancer ranks second in terms of proportional mortality in the general population (23%)⁴⁴. Furthermore, cancer accounts for 21% of deaths in patients with severe mental illness, comparable to the rate in the general population⁴⁵. Western Australia and Ethiopia have relatively low rates of 8.9% and 2.5%, respectively⁴⁵. Suicide, homicide, and accidents account for 5.4-43.8% of deaths in patients with mental illness⁴⁵. Heart diseases are the most common cause of death in patients with mental illness in several countries, including the USA⁴⁵. This phenomenon is attributed to a high smoking rate, drug-induced weight gain, reduced activity, and increased substance (drug) use⁴⁶. Thus, in patients with mental illness, other causes, such as suicide, homicide, and accidents, may

have contributed more to the death rate than cancer, which usually has a chronic course. Therefore, it is necessary to emphasize the importance of mental illness to clinicians, with particular attention to the aforementioned causes of death, including cancer.

The issues surrounding the diagnosis and treatment of patients with both mental illness and cancer include hesitation to diagnose and treat, economic problems, and side effects from the use of multiple drugs to treat both diseases^{37,47,48}. Hence, the survival rate might be lower due to the failure to achieve optimal treatment. Healthcare providers must consider these issues in their policy designs.

Our study has several limitations. First, it was not possible to perform a subgroup analysis of variables, such as age, sex, race, and economic status, which are common risk factors for both mental illness and cancer. We also did not adjust for the effects of potential confounders. Second, the results of the subgroup analysis are relatively weak in terms of statistical power due to the small number of included studies in each subgroup. Third, as most included studies were conducted in developed countries, the external validity of these results must be considered when applying them to the general population or developing countries. Finally, since the study design of all included studies were observational, it was difficult to infer causal relationships. We restricted the eligibility of the studies to those published in English only. The search was performed using only the Embase and Medline databases. We did not contact the study authors directly to clarify any information. Despite these shortcomings, our study has several strengths. The total number of 25 million individuals likely provides results with high statistical impact. Since some studies used national registries, the confidence level of the diagnostic criteria is high. Finally, the results were obtained after considering all types of cancer and mental illnesses.

Conclusions

Despite several limitations, this systematic review and meta-analysis examined the occurrence of cancer, survival, and mental illness based on analyses of ORs and HRs. Clinicians should perform early screening and provide appropriate interventions for mental illness in patients with cancer. The actual risk of cancer development in patients with mental illness may be higher due to undiscovered cases. Owing to the contribution of unnatural causes of death, such as suicide, homicide, and accidents, in patients with mental illness, clinicians should be aware of the importance of the treatment and management of mental illness. This study is important because it provides information to researchers interested in the relationship between cancer and mental illness. Future studies on both cancer and mental illness should also adjust for patient age, sex, and race.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethics Approval

Not applicable.

Informed Consent

Not required.

Data Availability Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed in this study.

Funding

This work was supported by the Medical Research Center program (grant number NRF-2018R1A5A2023879), the Basic Science Research Program (grant number NRF-2020R1C1C1003741), and the Collaborative Genome Program for Fostering New Post-Genome Industry (grant number NRF-2017M3C9A6047610) through a National Research Foundation of Korea grant funded by the Korean government.

Authors' Contribution

Kihun Kim: Conceptualization, Visualization, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – Original draft preparation. Yun Hak Kim: Conceptualization, Visualization, Project Administration, Funding acquisition, Writing – Reviewing and Editing, Supervision.

ORCID ID

Kihun Kim: 0000-0001-6445-6881. Yun Hak Kim: 0000-0002-9796-8266.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOB-OCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018; 68: 394-424.
- Purushotham A, Bains S, Lewison G, Szmukler G, Sullivan R. Cancer and mental health—a clinical and research unmet need. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 2274-2278.
- Khushalani JS, Qin J, Cyrus J, Buchanan Lunsford N, Rim SH, Han X, Yabroff KR, Ekwueme DU. Systematic review of healthcare costs related to mental health conditions among cancer survivors. Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res 2018; 18: 505-517.
- Niedzwiedz CL, Knifton L, Robb KA, Katikireddi SV, Smith DJ. Depression and anxiety among people living with and beyond cancer: a growing clinical and research priority. BMC Cancer 2019; 19: 1-8.
- Li M, Kennedy EB, Byrne N, Gérin-Lajoie C, Katz MR, Keshavarz H, Sellick S, Green E. Management of depression in patients with cancer: a clinical practice guideline. J Oncol Pract 2016; 12: 747-756.
- Lichtermann D, Ekelund J, Pukkala E, Tanskanen A, Lönnqvist J. Incidence of cancer among persons with schizophrenia and their relatives. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2001; 58: 573-578.
- Brown S, Inskip H, Barraclough B. Causes of the excess mortality of schizophrenia. Br J Psychiatry 2000; 177: 212-217.
- Black DW, Winokur G. Cancer mortality in psychiatric patients: the Iowa Record-linkage Study. Int J Psychiatry Med 1987; 16: 189-197.
- Casadebaig F, Quemada N. Mortality in psychiatric inpatients. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica 1989; 79: 257-264.

- 10) Saku M, Tokudome S, Ikeda M, Kono S, Makimoto K, Uchimura H, Mukai A, Yoshimura T. Mortality in psychiatric patients, with a specific focus on cancer mortality associated with schizophrenia. Int J Epidemiol 1995; 24: 366-372.
- Musuuza JS, Sherman ME, Knudsen KJ, Sweeney HA, Tyler CV, Koroukian SM. Analyzing excess mortality from cancer among individuals with mental illness. Cancer 2013; 119: 2469-2476.
- 12) Kisely S, Crowe E, Lawrence D. Cancer-related mortality in people with mental illness. JAMA Psychiatry 2013; 70: 209-217.
- 13) Ronaldson A, Elton L, Jayakumar S, Jieman A, Halvorsrud K, Bhui K. Severe mental illness and health service utilisation for nonpsychiatric medical disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2020; 17: e1003284.
- 14) Davis LE, Bogner E, Coburn NG, Hanna TP, Kurdyak P, Groome PA, Mahar AL. Stage at diagnosis and survival in patients with cancer and a pre-existing mental illness: a meta-analysis. J Epidemiol Community Health 2020; 74: 84-94.
- 15) Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021; 88: 105906.
- 16) Mathes T, Pieper D. Clarifying the distinction between case series and cohort studies in systematic reviews of comparative studies: potential impact on body of evidence and workload. BMC Med Res Methodol 2017; 17: 1-6.
- 17) Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute 2011. Available: http://www. ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp.
- 18) Herzog R, Álvarez-Pasquin MJ, Díaz C, Del Barrio JL, Estrada JM, Gil Á. Are healthcare workers' intentions to vaccinate related to their knowledge, beliefs and attitudes? A systematic review. BMC Public Health 2013; 13: 154.
- Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ 2003; 327: 557-560.
- 20) Kang J, Yu Y, Jeong S, Lee H, Heo HJ, Park JJ, Na HS, Ko DS, Kim YH. Prognostic role of high cathepsin D expression in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ther Adv Med Oncol 2020; 12: 1758835920927838.
- 21) Lee H, Jeong S, Yu Y, Kang J, Sun H, Rhee J-K, Kim YH. Risk of metastatic pheochromocytoma and paraganglioma in SDHx mutation carriers: a systematic review and updated meta-analysis. J Med Genet 2020; 57: 217-225.
- 22) Stevens R, Heneghan C. Against all odds? Improving the understanding of risk reporting. Br J Gen Pract 2012; 62: e220-e223.
- 23) George A, Stead TS, Ganti L. What's the Risk: Differentiating Risk Ratios, Odds Ratios, and Hazard Ratios? Cureus 2020; 12: e10047.

- 24) Watson M. Is' bedside medicine'returning to oncology? Acta Oncologica 2000; 39: 1-3.
- Sutherland AM. Psychological impact of cancer and its therapy. Med Clin North Am 1956; 40: 705-720.
- 26) Burgess C, Cornelius V, Love S, Graham J, Richards M, Ramirez A. Depression and anxiety in women with early breast cancer: five year observational cohort study. BMJ 2005; 330: 702.
- 27) Dinker N, Kumar P, Naidu S. Psychiatric Morbidity in Cancer Patients. Journal of Contemporary Medical Research 2019; 6: F1-F8.
- Mehta RD, Roth AJ. Psychiatric considerations in the oncology setting. CA Cancer J Clin 2015; 65: 299-314.
- 29) Cleeland CS, Bennett GJ, Dantzer R, Dougherty PM, Dunn AJ, Meyers CA, Miller AH, Payne R, Reuben JM, Wang XS. Are the symptoms of cancer and cancer treatment due to a shared biologic mechanism? A cytokine-immunologic model of cancer symptoms. Cancer 2003; 97: 2919-2925.
- Reiche EMV, Nunes SOV, Morimoto HK. Stress, depression, the immune system, and cancer. Lancet Oncol 2004; 5: 617-625.
- 31) Catalá-López F, Suárez-Pinilla M, Suárez-Pinilla P, Valderas JM, Gómez-Beneyto M, Martinez S, Balanzá-Martínez V, Climent J, Valencia A, Mc-Grath J. Inverse and direct cancer comorbidity in people with central nervous system disorders: a meta-analysis of cancer incidence in 577,013 participants of 50 observational studies. Psychother Psychosom2014; 83: 89-105.
- 32) Huang J, Zhao D, Liu Z, Liu F. Repurposing psychiatric drugs as anti-cancer agents. Cancer Lett 2018; 419: 257-265.
- 33) Seeman MV. Schizophrenia mortality: barriers to progress. Psychiatric Q 2019; 90: 553-563.
- 34) Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5[®]). American Psychiatric Pub; 2013.
- 35) Leano A, Korman MB, Goldberg L, Ellis J. Are we missing PTSD in our patients with cancer? Part I. Can Oncol Nurs J 2019; 29: 141.
- 36) Gold JI, Douglas MK, Thomas ML, Elliott JE, Rao SM, Miaskowski C. The relationship between posttraumatic stress disorder, mood states, functional status, and quality of life in oncology outpatients. J Pain Symptom Manage 2012; 44: 520-531.
- 37) Lawrence D, Hancock KJ, Kisely S. Cancer and mental illness. Comorbidity of Mental and Physical Disorders. Karger Publishers; 2015: 88-98.
- 38) Newcomer JW. Antipsychotic medications: metabolic and cardiovascular risk. J Clin Psychiatry 2007; 68 Suppl 4: 8-13.
- 39) Tuesley KM, Jordan SJ, Siskind DJ, Kendall BJ, Kisely S. Colorectal, cervical and prostate cancer screening in Australians with severe mental illness: retrospective nation-wide cohort study. Aust N Z J Psuchiatry 2019; 53: 550-558.
- 40) Werneke U, Horn O, Maryon-Davis A, Wessely S, Donnan S, McPherson K. Uptake of screening for

breast cancer in patients with mental health problems. J Epidemiol Community Helath 2006; 60: 600-605.

- 41) O'rourke R, Diggs B, Spight D, Robinson J, Elder K, Andrus J, Thomas C, Hunter J, Jobe BA. Psychiatric illness delays diagnosis of esophageal cancer. Dis Esophagus 2008; 21: 416-421.
- 42) Howard LM, Barley EA, Davies E, Rigg A, Lempp H, Rose D, Taylor D, Thornicroft G. Cancer diagnosis in people with severe mental illness: practical and ethical issues. Lancet Oncol 2010; 11: 797-804.
- 43) Chang CK, Hayes RD, Broadbent MT, Hotopf M, Davies E, Møller H, Stewart R. A cohort study on mental disorders, stage of cancer at diagnosis and subsequent survival. BMJ Open 2014; 4:
- 44) Health in the Americas, 2017 Edition. Summary: Regional Outlook and Country Profiles. Pan

American Health Organization 2017. Available at: https://iris.paho.org/handle/10665.2/34321.

- 45) Excess mortality in persons with severe mental disorders. World Health Organization 2016; 27-29.
- 46) Barbosa S, Sequeira M, Castro S, Manso R, Câmara CK, Trancas B, Borja-Santos N, Maia T. Causes of death in an acute psychiatric inpatient unit of a Portuguese general hospital. Acta Med Port 2016; 29: 468-475.
- 47) Irwin KE, Henderson DC, Knight HP, Pirl WF. Cancer care for individuals with schizophrenia. Cancer 2014; 120: 323-334.
- 48) Lawrence D, Kisely S. Inequalities in healthcare provision for people with severe mental illness. J Psychopharmacol 2010; 24: 61-68.