# Safety and efficacy of the combination Acetaminophen-Codeine in the treatment of pain of different origin

F. Franceschi, P. Iacomini, D. Marsiliani, C. Cordischi, E. Forte S. Antonini, A. Alesi, D. Giacobelli, G. Zuccalà

Department of Emergency Medicine, Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

Abstract. - BACKGROUND: Pain is the most common reason people see doctors in developed Countries and a very common cause of access in Emergency Department (ED). The combination acetaminophen/codeine represents the standard medication in the second step of the WHO analgesic scale and codeine is one of the most commonly used opioid analgesic for a variety of pain conditions. However, many aspects related to safety and efficacy are still undefined.

AIM: To summarize and review the results of the most relevant studies on the efficacy and safety profile of acetaminophen/codeine combination in the treatment of pain of different origin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We performed a literature search to identify and evaluate all relevant english-language randomized controlled trials (RCTs), meta-analyses and reviews about the codeine plus paracetamol combination in the treament of pain from any source.

RESULTS: Acetaminophen/codeine combination is effective in the treatment of moderate to severe pain in all setting analyzed in this study, which include headache, postoperative, osteoarticular and post-traumatic. The best results in terms of safety and efficacy have been obtained in postoperative pain. Efficacy of acetaminophen/codeine combination is not inferior to NSAIDs.

CONCLUSIONS: Acetaminophen/codeine combination is effective in the treatment of pain, through a synergistic action of the two molecules, and is not inferior to NSAIDs. Side effects of acetaminophen/codeine are usually minor, differently from NSAIDs, which may induce some potentially lifethreatening conditions.

Key Words:

Fungal peritonitis, Peritoneal dialysis, Bacterial peritonitis

## Introduction

Everyone is likely to experience pain at least once in a life, either acute or chronic. Indeed, pain is the most common reason patients see their doc-

tor or access to an Emergency Department (ED)<sup>1-4</sup>. Pain is a very complex phenomenon that simultaneously involves different spheres, including sensorial, emotional and spiritual one<sup>5-8</sup>. The complexity of the algogenic stimuli explains why so many different molecules may be effective in the treatment of different kind of pain. The World Health Organization (WHO) promotes and supports optimal pain relief as a fundamental human right<sup>9</sup>. An analgesic ladder with a stepwise approach was developed by WHO in 1986, as a guideline to assist physicians in managing pain treatment among patients with cancer<sup>10</sup>. This stepwise approach is today applied not only to manage cancer pain, but also to approach each type of pain requiring relief<sup>11</sup>.

There are different methods to assess pain; most of the studies published on pain treatment evaluate the efficacy of a specific analgesic by means of the visual analogue scale (VAS)<sup>12</sup>. This scale can be used to easily evaluate pain severity and relief<sup>13,14</sup>, as well as the effect of different drugs on pain<sup>15</sup>. The VAS scale is a 10 cm line where no pain is at one extremity and severe pain at the other. Patients are asked to indicate the level of pain experienced at the time of evaluation by drawing a line along the scale<sup>13-15</sup>.

Acetaminophen/codeine combination represents the standard medication in the second step of the WHO analgesic ladder and is the most commonly used opioid analgesic for a variety of pain conditions<sup>16-19</sup>. The combination of two different drugs acting centrally and peripherally has been specifically ideated in order to potentiate both efficacy and tolerability<sup>20-22</sup>.

The mechanism of action of acetaminophen is not completely understood yet, but there are some evidences supporting a central analgesic effect<sup>23,24</sup>. To date, acetaminophen seems to be involved in five different action pathways: (1) Inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) isoenzymes in

CNS without any interaction with the binding site<sup>25</sup>; (2) Activation of the serotonergic bulbospinal pathway<sup>26,27</sup>; (3) Activation of the nitric oxide (NO) pathway<sup>28</sup>; (4) Activation or modulation of the endogenous opioids pathway<sup>29</sup>; (5) Increase in cannabinoid tone<sup>30,31</sup>. Acetaminophen is widely prescribed and has a low appearance of adverse effects, except from those related to hepatotoxicity but at very high doses<sup>32,33</sup>.

Codeine is a selective  $\mu$  opioid receptor agonist that is converted to the more active compound morphine after a hepatic O-demethylation. However, this conversion is not equal in all patients due to genetic polymorphism among different ethnic groups<sup>34-36</sup>. Adverse effects of opioids include nausea, constipation, abdominal pain, respiratory depression, urinary retention, sedation, pruritus and dependence<sup>35,37</sup>.

Acetaminophen/codeine combination is recommended for pain not controlled by acetaminophen alone. Despite the results of studies published so far, there are still some aspects to be clarified, ranging from the efficacy and safety profile of the association acetaminophen/codeine to the comparison to other drugs, especially NSAIDs, among different kind of pain. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to summarize and review, among relevant studies, the efficacy and safety of acetaminophen/codeine among different pain conditions and sources, in comparison with other drugs.

## **Materials and Methods**

We performed a literature search using PubMed/MEDLINE (1975-2012) to identify and evaluate all relevant English-language randomized controlled trials (RCTs), meta-analyses and reviews about the acetaminophen/codeine combination in the treament of pain from any source. The search strategy was conducted following the principles previously published<sup>38,39</sup>. We used the following key words: acetaminophen; paracetamol; codeine; pain; relief; efficacy; safety. Articles were also identified by a manual search of bibliographies from retrieved articles. The literature search was conducted by two reviewers, independently of one another, and any discrepancy was resolved by consensus with a third author. We used the GRADE system to evaluate the overall quality of the evidence and the strength of the recommendations<sup>40</sup>.

#### Results

### Postoperative Pain

De Craen et al<sup>35</sup> conducted a systematic review to evaluate the efficacy and safety of acetaminophen/codeine in different settings, but mostly in postsurgical pain management and to verify whether the combination was better than acetaminophen alone. Results from this study clearly showed that acetaminophen/codeine provided a 5% increase in analgesia on the sum pain intensity difference, and that acetaminophen/codeine combination was significantly superior than acetaminophen alone. In most studies the treatment was taken when pain was moderate or severe. Concerning adverse effects, the cumulative occurrence with each medication was similar in the single dose trials, whereas a significantly higher proportion of side effects occurred with acetaminophen/codeine combination in the multidose studies, mostly consisting with nausea, vomiting, dizziness, drowsiness and constipation<sup>35</sup>.

Moore et al<sup>17</sup> conducted a systematic review to assess the efficacy of single oral doses of acetaminophen alone and in combination with codeine in postoperative pain relief. They analyzed three different arms of treatment: acetaminophen vs placebo, acetaminophen/codeine vs placebo and acetaminophen/codeine vs acetaminophen alone at the same dosage. The result was that acetaminophen/codeine combination had the better number-needed-to-treat (NNT), compared to placebo and acetaminophen alone, producing a better pain relief even in single oral doses.

Another meta-analysis<sup>41</sup> of randomised controlled trials conducted on the use of acetaminophen/codeine for acute postoperative pain reached similar conclusion. In fact, acetaminophen 1000 mg plus codeine 60 mg combination resulted to be an effective analgesic drug with a low NNT, compared to placebo and either acetaminophen 1000 mg or codeine 60 mg alone. Furthermore, this combination demonstrated a similar efficacy to that reported for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), such as ibuprofen, diflusinal, ketorolac and flurbiprofen, tested in the same trials.

Nauta et al<sup>21</sup> conducted a systematic review to compare the efficacy and safety profile of codeine plus acetaminophen combination and NSAIDs in the management of post-laparotomy pain. The conclusion was that the effect of acetaminophen/codeine was similar to that shown by NSAIDs. Moreover, the majority of the studies

included in the review did not show a significant difference in the number of patients with adverse effects between the two treatment arms.

A recent Cochrane review<sup>18</sup> of randomised controlled trials comparing acetaminophen/codeine combination with placebo or acetaminophen alone at the same dosage, for the relief of acute postoperative pain in adults, confirmed previous findings. Acetaminophen/codeine was significantly better than placebo and acetaminophen alone, with also a longer duration of the analgesic action. Regarding the adverse events, there was a significant difference between acetaminophen/codeine and placebo for the numbers of patients experiencing any adverse event, but no significant difference was found between acetaminophen/codeine and acetaminophen alone.

Several trials<sup>42-48</sup> have been published regarding the comparison between acetaminophen/codeine combination and different NSAIDs in the treatment of postoperative pain, but the differences among different study designs (placebocontrolled or not, effective randomization), patients' clinical condition (different baseline pain levels) and analgesic administration (different doses, timings and medications), makes difficult to summarize and quantify the evidence from those trials. Overall, acetaminophen/codeine showed similar analgesic priorities than NSAIDs, without significant differences in terms of side effects, except for nausea<sup>44-46</sup>.

Concerning the treatment with other opioids, Crighton et al<sup>49</sup> found no significant differences in terms of efficacy between tramadol and acetaminophen/codeine for pain relief during the first twenty-four hours after day case laparoscopic sterilization. Nevertheless, they found a lower accurance of central nervous system adverse effects (drowsiness, dizziness and headache) in the acetaminophen/codeine group, compared with the tramadol group. Similarly, Smith et al<sup>50</sup> reported an analogous efficacy between acetaminophen/ tramadol and acetaminophen/codeine in the treatment of abdominal postoperative pain. The frequencies of adverse side effects were also similar between the two groups, except for constipation and vomiting, which were slightly increased in patients receiving acetaminophen/codeine. Other studies<sup>51,52</sup> have compared tramadol and hydrocodone to acetaminophen/codeine in postoperative pain but the results are still unconclusive; further studies are needed to assess the efficacy and safety of different opioid combinations in postoperative pain relief.

#### Cancer Pain

Acetaminophen/codeine represents the standard treatment in the second step of the WHO analgesic ladder for cancer pain relief<sup>10</sup>. However, in the last years several authors proposed tramadol, hydrocodone or oxycodone as alternative drugs for the treatment of patients categorized within this step<sup>53-55</sup>. Rodriguez et al<sup>53</sup> found no significant differences in terms of analgesic efficacy and tolerability between hydrocodone/acetaminophen and acetaminophen/codeine for the management of moderate to severe, chronic cancer pain in adults. A recent systematic review<sup>56</sup> performed to verify whether tramadol is superior to acetaminophen/codeine combination for the treatment of mild to moderate cancer pain showed that evidences are inconclusive to recommend the routine use of tramadol as an alternative to acetaminophen/codeine.

#### Osteoarthritis-Related Pain

In this field, acetaminophen and NSAIDs still represent the recommended first-line therapy, based on WHO recommendation. Nevertheless, the occurrence of potentially severe side effects related to the use of NSAIDs, especially in older patients, should be taken into account when choosing the most appropriate drug<sup>57</sup>. Results from meta-analyses showed that there is a role for opioids in the treatment of pain in osteorathritis<sup>58,59</sup>. Corsinovi et al<sup>60</sup> found a significantly higher efficacy of acetaminophen/codeine combination compared to NSAIDs or acetaminophen alone, in patients with moderate to severe osteoarthritis-related pain. Regarding safety, this study showed similar rates of adverse events between the different treatment groups, even between acetaminophen/codeine and oxycodone/ acetaminophen. Colini Baldeschi et al<sup>61</sup> compared the efficacy and tolerability of two different combinations, such as acetaminophen/tramadol and acetaminophen/codeine, for the treatment of patients with moderate to severe lowback pain caused by osteoarthritis. The study showed that acetaminophen/codeine had a better efficacy than acetaminophen/tramadol, and there was also a better tolerability of acetaminophen/codeine in terms of adverse events and drop out.

#### Other Kinds of Pain

Gatoulis et al<sup>45</sup> conducted a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled, single-dose trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of aspirin and ac-

etaminophen/codeine in the treatment of tensiontype headache. The study showed that acetaminophen/codeine had the same efficacy with aspirin, without any statistically significant differences between the two groups concerning the occurrence of adverse events.

Innes et al<sup>62</sup> carried out a trial to evaluate the analgesic efficacy and adverse effects of ketorolac and acetaminophen/codeine in ED patients with acute musculoskeletal low back pain. They found no significant differences in analgesic efficacy between the two treatment groups.

Mullican et al<sup>63</sup> compared the efficacy and safety of two different combinations, such as acetaminophen/tramadol and acetaminophen/codeine, for the treatment of chronic nonmalignant low back pain in adults. The results showed a similar analgesic efficacy between the two treatment groups with an analogous overall incidence of adverse events.

Finally, there is only one study regarding the efficacy of acetaminophen/codeine in patients with polytrauma, performed by our group<sup>64</sup>. Sixty polytrauma patients were enrolled for this study. 30 patients were treated with acetaminophen 1000 mg plus codeine 60 mg tid for 24 hours, while the remaining 30 with ketorolac 10 mg qid for 24 hours. Interestingly, all those drugs determined a significant reduction of pain intensity during the course of therapy, without occurrence of severe side effects. We then concluded that acetaminophen/codeine is effective in pain control in polytrauma patients and that it may represent a valid alternative to NSAIDs, especially in patients with a documented hemorrhage or with a high hemorrhagic risk.

#### Discussion

The acetaminophen/codeine combination is effective in the treatment of mild to moderate pain in all the setting analyzed by different studies published in general literature. Postoperative pain is the most complete field in terms of evidence, whereas in other kind of pain studies are still incomplete. Nevertheless, the acetaminophen/codeine combination appears to be effective in the treatment of pain from any source, compared to placebo and acetaminophen alone.

Concerning the studies aimed at comparing the efficacy of acetaminophen/codeine and NSAIDs in pain from different sources, there are some points needing to be clarified. First of all, the lack-

ing of international guidelines concerning clear indications and doses of NSAIDs determined a proliferation of studies comparing the efficacy of a standard dosage of acetaminophen/codeine with different kind and dosage of NSAIDs. This makes difficult to produce a worthwhile meta-analysis that would be able to determine if there is any statistically significant difference between the two treatment profiles. For that reason we believe that it would be useful to have internationally accepted doses of these substances, in order to standardize trials and allow us, within few years, to achieve further evidence. Secondly, the comparison of side effects of acetaminophen/codeine and NSAIDs has not been really highlighted by studies included in this review. In fact, side effects of acetaminophen/codeine, usually consisting of nausea, vomiting, and constipation, may be considered minor when compared to those of NSAIDs. Indeed, side effects of NSAIDs may become even fatal, with an increased risk in older patients<sup>65</sup>. The most common side effect is bleeding, especially from the upper gastrointestinal tract, that is very common particularly among patients with a history of either gastritis or peptic ulcer and surgery<sup>66,67</sup>. In addition, recent studies have shown an active role of NSAIDs, especially when used in high doses and for a long period of time, in the precipitation of acute coronary syndromes including acute myocardial infarction, as some of the molecules categorized among NSAIDs may act as a COX-2 inhibitor<sup>68-70</sup>. Furthermore, they could be dangerous in patients with cardiovascular diseases as chronic heart failure, by impairing renal function, and in patients under treatment with aspirin for secondary prevention of coronary or neurological events<sup>71</sup>.

Concerning the comparison with other opioids, most studies found no significant differences in terms of tolerability between acetaminophen/codeine and minor opioids<sup>50-53</sup>. However, some studies showed a better tolerability profile of acetaminophen/codeine vs other minor opioids<sup>49,72</sup>.

Acetaminophen/codeine has been effective in the treatment of cancer pain, especially in the second step of the WHO analgesic ladder, as well as in orthopedic pain, where it has shown a non-inferiority in terms of efficacy compared to NSAIDs. Finally, more recently, the combination acetaminophen/codeine has also been tested in different settings, such as in polytrauma patients, in subjects with headache, or in children with very promising results.

#### Conclusions

Acetaminophen/codeine combination is effective in the treatment of pain, through a synergistic action of the two molecules. Scientific evidence, although not yet conclusive due to heterogeneity of studies published so far, supports a non-inferiority of the acetaminophen/codeine combination compared to NSAIDs in the treatment of different kind of pain. Concerning side effects, NSAIDs may induce some potentially lifethreatening conditions differently from acetaminophen/codeine. This may allow us to suggest the use of acetaminophen/codeine in the management of mild to moderate pain, especially in older patients and in those with chronic pain requiring long-term treatment when the primary cause can not be otherwise resolved. Furthermore, it may also represent the treatment of choice in patients with an increased risk of cardiovascular events or in subjects undergoing primary or secondary prevention treatment of stroke and acute coronary syndromes.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

# References

- CORDELL WH, KEENE KK, GILES BK, JONES JB, JONES JH, BRIZENDINE EJ. The high prevalence of pain in emergency medical care. Am J Emerg Med 2002; 20: 165-169.
- TCHERNY-LESSENOT S, KARWOWSKI-SOULIÉ F, LAMARCHE-VADEL A, GINSBURG C, BRUNET F, VIDAL-TRECAN G.
  Management and relief of pain in an emergency department from the adult patients' perspective. J
  Pain Symptom Manage 2003; 25: 539-546.
- 3) JOHNSTON CC, GAGNON AJ, PEPLER CJ, BOURGAULT P. Pain in the emergency department with one-week follow-up of pain resolution. Pain Res Manag 2005; 10: 67-70.
- KARWOWSKI-SOULIÉ F, LESSENOT-TCHERNY S, LAMARCHE-VADEL A, BINEAU S, GINSBURG C, MEYNIARD O, MEN-DOZA B, FODELLA P, VIDAL-TRECAN G, BRUNET F. Eur J Emerg Med 2006; 13: 218-224.
- 5) SIDDALL PJ, COUSINS MJ. Pain mechanisms and management: an update. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 1995; 22: 679-688.
- 6) Marchand S. The physiology of pain mechanisms: from the periphery to the brain. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2008; 34: 285-309.
- Voscopoulos C, Lema M. When does acute pain become chronic? Br J Anaesth 2010; 105: i69-85.

- 8) KUNER R. Central mechanisms of pathological pain. Nat Med 2010; 16: 1258-1266.
- THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. Available at http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/notes/2007/ np31/en/index.html . Accessed May 5, 2012.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. Cancer pain relief. Geneva, Switz: World Health Organization; 1986.
- 11) WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION. Cancer pain relief. Geneva, Switz: World Health Organization; 1996.
- TODD KH, FUNK KG, FUNK JP, BONACCI R. Clinical significance of reported changes in pain severity. Ann Emerg Med 1996; 27: 485-489.
- GALLAGHER EJ, LIEBMAN M, BIJUR PE. Prospective validation of clinically important changes in pain severity measured on a visual analog scale. Ann Emerg Med 2001; 38: 633-638.
- 14) Kelly AM. The minimum clinically significant difference in visual analogue scale pain score does not differ with severity of pain. Emerg Med J 2001; 18: 205-207.
- 15) Song SW, Kim K, Rhee JE, Lee JH, Seo GJ, PARK HM. Butylscopolammonium bromide does not provide additional analgesia when combined with morphine and ketorolac for acute renal colic. Emerg Med Australas 2012; 24: 144-150.
- 16) Bradley N. Naming of drugs. BMJ should use "paracetamol" instead of "acetaminophen" in its index. Br Med J 1996; 313: 689.
- MOORE A, COLLINS S, CARROLL D, McQUAY H. Paracetamol with and without codeine in acute pain: a quantitative systematic review. Pain 1997; 70: 193-201.
- MOORE RA, DERRY S, McQUAY HJ, WIFFEN PJ. Single dose oral analgesics for acute postoperative pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011; (9): CD008659.
- JACKSON E, KAPP N. Pain control in first-trimester and second-trimester medical termination of pregnancy: a systematic review. Contraception 2011; 83: 116-126.
- RAFFA RB. Pharmacology of oral combination analgesics: rational therapy for pain. J Clin Pharm Ther 2001; 26: 257-264.
- 21) NAUTA M, LANDSMEER ML, KOREN G. Codeine-acetaminophen versus nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of post-abdominal surgery pain: a systematic review of randomized trials. Am J Surg 2009; 198: 256-261.
- 22) MOORE RA, DERRY CJ, DERRY S, STRAUBE S, McQUAY HJ. A conservative method of testing whether combination analgesics produce additive or synergistic effects using evidence from acute pain and migraine. Eur J Pain 2012; 16: 585-591.
- 23) REMY C, MARRET E, BONNET F. State of the art of paracetamol in acute pain therapy. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2006; 19: 562-565.
- 24) SMITH HS. Potential analgesic mechanisms of acetaminophen. Pain Physician 2009; 12: 269-280.
- 25) ARONOFF DM, OATES JA, BOUTAUD O. New insights into the mechanism of action of acetaminophen:

- Its clinical pharmacologic characteristics reflect its inhibition of the two prostaglandin H2 synthases. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006; 79: 9-19.
- 26) RUGGIERI V, VITALE G, PINI LA, SANDRINI M. Differential involvement of opioidergic and serotonergic systems in the antinociceptive activity of N-arachidonoyl-phenolamine (AM404) in the rat: comparison with paracetamol. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 2008; 377: 219-229.
- ROCA-VINARDELL A, ORTEGA-ALVARO A, GIBERT-RAHOLA J, MICÓ JA. The role of 5-HT1A/B autoreceptors in the antinociceptive effect of systemic administration of acetaminophen. Anesthesiology 2003; 98: 741-747.
- BUJALSKA M. Effect of nitric oxide synthase inhibition on antinociceptive action of different doses of acetaminophen. Pol J Pharmacol 2004; 56: 605-610.
- RAFFA RB, WALKER EA, STERIOUS SN. Opioid receptors and acetaminophen (paracetamol). Eur J Pharmacol 2004; 503: 209-210.
- 30) MALLET C, DAULHAC L, BONNEFONT J, LEDENT C, ETIENNE M, CHAPUY E, LIBERT F, ESCHALIER A. Endocannabinoid and serotonergic systems are needed for acetaminophen-induced analgesia. Pain 2008; 139: 190-200.
- HAMA AT, SAGEN J. Cannabinoid receptor-mediated antinociception with acetaminophen drug combinations in rats with neuropathic spinal cord injury pain. Neuropharmacology 2010; 58: 758-766.
- 32) CLISSOLD SP. Paracetamol and phenacetin. Drugs 1986; 32: 46-59.
- BARKIN RL. Acetaminophen, aspirin, or Ibuprofen in combination analgesic products. Am J Ther 2001; 8: 433-442.
- CHEN ZR, SOMOGYI AA, BOCHNER F. Polymorphic Odemethylation of codeine. Lancet 1988; 2: 914-915.
- 35) DE CRAEN AJ, DI GIULIO G, LAMPE-SCHOENMAECKERS JE, KESSELS AG, KLEUNEN J. Analgesic efficacy and safety of paracetamol-codeine combinations versus paracetamol alone: a systematic review. Br Med J 1996; 313: 321-325.
- 36) MILO S, ANSONOFF M, KING M, ROSSI GC, ZUCKERMAN A, PINTAR J, PASTERNAK GW. Codeine and 6-acetyl-codeine analgesia in mice. Cell Mol Neurobiol 2006; 26: 1011-1019.
- 37) MEYER UA. Pharmacogenetics and adverse drug reactions. Lancet 2000; 356: 1667-1671.
- DICKERSIN K, SCHERER R, LEFEBVRE C. Identifying relevant studies for systematic reviews. Br Med J 1994; 309: 1286-1291.
- 39) HAYNES RB, MCKIBBON KA, WILCZYNSKI NL, WALTER SD, WERRE SR; HEDGES TEAM. Optimal search strategies for retrieving scientifically strong studies of treatment from Medline: analytical survey. Br Med J 2005; 330: 1179.
- 40) Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, Eccles M, Falck-Ytter Y, Flottorp S, Guyatt GH, Harbour RT, Haugh MC, Henry D, Hill S, Jaeschke R, Leng G, Liberati A, Magrini N, Mason J, Middleton P, Mrukowicz J, O'-Connell D, Oxman AD, Phillips B, Schünemann HJ,

- EDEJER TT, VARONEN H, VIST GE, WILLIAMS JW JR, ZAZA S; GRADE WORKING GROUP. Grading quality of evidence and strenght of recommendations. Br Med J 2004; 328: 1490.
- 41) SMITH LA, MOORE RA, McQUAY HJ, GAVAGHAN D. Using evidence from different sources: an example using paracetamol 1000 mg plus codeine 60 mg. BMC Med Res Methodol 2001; 1: 1.
- 42) CHEN T, ADAMSON PA. Comparison of ibuprofen and acetaminophen with codeine following cosmetic facial surgery. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2009, 38: 580-586.
- 43) ZICCARDI VB, DESJARDINS PJ, DALY-DELOY E, SENG GF. Single-dose vicoprofen compared with acetaminophen with codeine and placebo in patients with acute postoperative pain after third molar extractions. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2000; 58: 622-628.
- 44) ST CHARLES CS, MATT BH, HAMILTON MM, KATZ BP. A comparison of ibuprofen versus acetaminophen with codeine in the young tonsillectomy patient. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1997; 117: 76-82.
- 45) GATOULIS SC, VOELKER M, FISHER M. Assessment of the efficacy and safety profiles of aspirin and acetaminophen with codeine: results from 2 randomized, controlled trials in individuals with tension-type headache and postoperative dental pain. Clin Ther 2012; 34: 138-148.
- 46) PETER EA, JANSSEN PA, GRANGE CS, DOUGLAS MJ. Ibuprofen versus acetaminophen with codeine for the relief of perineal pain after childbirth: a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ 2001; 165: 1203-1209.
- 47) TUREK MD, BAIRD WM. Double-blind parallel comparison of ketoprofen (Orudis), acetaminophen plus codeine, and placebo in postoperative pain. J Clin Pharmacol 1988; 28: S23-28.
- 48) FORBES JA, KEHM CJ, GRODIN CD, BEAVER WT. Evaluation of ketorolac, ibuprofen, acetaminophen, and an acetaminophen-codeine combination in post-operative oral surgery pain. Pharmacotherapy 1990; 10: 94S-105S.
- 49) CRIGHTON IM, HOBBS GJ, WRENCH IJ. Analgesia after day case laparoscopic sterilisation. A comparison of tramadol with paracetamol/dextropropoxyphene and paracetamol/codeine combinations. Anaesthesia 1997; 52: 649-652.
- 50) SMITH AB, RAVIKUMAR TS, KAMIN M, JORDAN D, XIANG J, ROSENTHAL N, CAPSS-115 STUDY GROUP. Combination tramadol plus acetaminophen for postsurgical pain. Am J Surg 2004; 187: 521-527.
- 51) FORBES JA, BATES JA, EDQUIST IA, BURCHFIELD WH, SIMTH FG, SCHWARTZ MK, KIT V, HYATT J, BELL WE, BEAVER WT. Evaluation of two opioid-acetaminophen combinations and placebo in postoperative oral surgery pain. Pharmacotherapy 1994; 14: 139-146.
- 52) ALFANO G, GRIECO M, FORINO A, MEGLIO G, PACE MC, IANNOTTI M. Analgesia with paracetamol/tramadol vs. paracetamol/codeine in one day-surgery: a randomized open study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2011; 15: 205-210.

- 53) RODRIGUEZ RF, CASTILLO JM, DEL PILAR CASTILLO M, NUÑEZ PD, RODRIGUEZ MF, RESTREPO JM, RODRIGUEZ JM, ORTIZ Y, ANGEL AM. Codeine/acetaminophen and hydrocodone/acetaminophen combination tablets for the management of chronic cancer pain in adults: a 23-day, prospective, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group study. Clin Ther 2007; 29: 581-587.
- 54) RILEY J, EISENBERG E, MÜLLER-SCHWEFE G, DREWES AM, ARENDT-NIELSEN L. Oxycodone: a review of its use in the management of pain. Curr Med Res Opin 2008; 24: 175-192.
- 55) LEPPERT W. Tramadol as an analgesic for mild to moderate cancer pain. Pharmacol Rep 2009; 61: 978-992.
- 56) TASSINARI D, DRUDI F, ROSATI M, TOMBESI P, SARTORI S, MALTONI M. The second step of the analgesic ladder and oral tramadol in the treatment of mild to moderate cancer pain: a systematic review. Palliat Med 2011; 25: 410-423.
- 57) ZHANG W, NUKI G, MOSKOWITZ RW, ABRAMSON S, ALT-MAN RD, ARDEN NK, BIERMA-ZEINSTRA S, BRANDT KD, CROFT P, DOHERTY M, DOUGADOS M, HOCHBERG M, HUNTER DJ, KWOH K, LOHMANDER LS, TUGWELL P. OARSI recommendations for the management of hip and knee osteoarthritis: part III: Changes in evidence following systematic cumulative update of research published through January 2009. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2010; 18: 476-499.
- 58) AVOUAC J, GOSSEC L, DOUGADOS M. Efficacy and safety of opioids for osteoarthritis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2007; 15: 957-965.
- 59) NÜESCH E, RUTJES AW, HUSNI E, WELCH V, JÜNI P. Oral or transdermal opioids for osteoarthritis of the knee or hip. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2009; (4): CD003115.
- 60) Corsinovi L, Martinelli E, Fonte G, Astengo M, Sona A, Gatti A, Massaia M, Bo M, Zanocchi M, Michelis G, Isaia G, Molaschi M. Efficacy of oxycodone/acetaminophen and codeine/acetaminophen vs. conventional therapy in elderly women with persistent, moderate to severe osteoarthritis-related pain. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2009; 49: 378-382.
- 61) COLINI BALDESCHI G, COBIANCHI MR. Study of codeine-paracetamol combination treatment compared with tramadol-paracetamol in the control of moderate-to-severe low back pain. Minerva Med 2012; 103: 177-182.
- 62) INNES GD, CROSKERRY P, WORTHINGTON J, BEVERIDGE R, JONES D. Ketorolac versus acetaminophen-codeine in the emergency department treatment of acute low back pain. J Emerg Med 1998; 16: 549-556.
- 63) MULLICAN WS, LACY JR, TRAMAP-ANAG-006 STUDY GROUP. Tramadol/acetaminophen combination

- tablets and codeine/acetaminophen combination capsules for the management of chronic pain: a comparative trial. Clin Ther 2001; 23: 1429-1445.
- 64) Franceschi F, Buccelletti F, Marsiliani D, Carroccia A, Giupponi B, De Marco G, Gilardi E, Merra G, Mancini F, Potenza A, Giannuzzi R, Calcinaro S, Marini M, Gentiloni Silveri N. Acetaminophen plus codeine compared to ketorolac in polytrauma patients. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2010; 14: 629-634.
- 65) LANAS A, FERRANDEZ A. Inappropriate prevention of NSAID-induced gastrointestinal events among long-term users in the elderly. Drugs Aging 2007; 24: 121-131.
- LAINE L. GI risk and risk factors of NSAIDs. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 2006; 47: S60-66.
- 67) OGUZTURK H, OZGUR D, TURTAY MG, KAYAALP C, YIL-MAZ S, DOGAN M, PAMUCKU E. Tramadol or paracetamol do not affect the diagnostic accuracy of acute abdominal pain with significant pain relief – a prospective, randomized, placebo controlled double blind study. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2012; 16: 1983-1988.
- 68) RAY WA, STEIN CM, HALL K, DAUGHERTY JR, GRIFFIN MR. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and risk of serious coronary heart disease: an observational cohort study. Lancet 2002; 359: 118-123.
- 69) SINGH G, WU O, LANGHORNE P, MADHOK R. Risk of acute myocardial infarction with nonselective nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: a meta-analysis. Arthritis Res Ther 2006; 8: R153.
- 70) Shau WY, Chen HC, Chen ST, Chou HW, Chang CH, Kuo CW, Lai MS. Risk of new acute myocardial infarction hospitalization associated with use of oral and parenteral non-steroidal anti-inflammation drugs (NSAIDs): a case-crossover study of Taiwan's National Health Insurance claims database and review of current evidence. BMC Cardiovasc Disord 2012; 12: 4.
- 71) GISLASON GH, RASMUSSEN JN, ABILDSTROM SZ, SCHRAMM TK, HANSEN ML, FOSBØL EL, SØRENSEN R, FOLKE F, BUCH P, GADSBØLL N, RASMUSSEN S, POULSEN HE, KØBER L, MADSEN M, TORP-PEDERSEN C. Increased mortality and cardiovascular morbidity associated with use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in chronic heart failure. Arch Intern Med 2009; 169: 141-149.
- 72) TAVASSOLI N, LAPEYRE-MESTRE M, SOMMET A, MONTASTRUC JL; FRENCH ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL PHARMACOVIGILANCE CENTRES. Reporting rate of adverse drug reactions to the French pharmacovigilance system with three step 2 analgesic drugs: dextropropoxyphene, tramadol and codeine (in combination with paracetamol). Br J Clin Pharmacol 2009; 68: 422-426.